



SCIENTIFIC LETTER

Thrombosed great saphenous vein aneurysm accompanied by venous thrombosis



F.G. Rendón-Elías^{a,*}, R. Albores-Figueroa^a, L.S. Arrazolo-Ortega^a, F. Torres-Alcalá^a,
M. Hernández-Sánchez^b, L.H. Gómez-Danés^a

^a Service of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery of the "Dr. José Eleuterio González", University Hospital of the UANL, Mexico

^b Service of Pediatrics, UMAE -21, IMSS Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico

Received 14 May 2014; accepted 22 July 2014

Available online 23 June 2015

KEYWORDS

Great saphenous vein;
Venous aneurysms;
Vascular malformations;
Venous thrombosis

Abstract Superficial venous aneurysms of the lower extremities are considered rare and their clinical significance is poorly defined. The purpose of this article is to report a case of a 72-year-old woman with a thrombosed great saphenous vein aneurysm along with deep venous thrombosis and review its clinical presentation, diagnosis and treatment.

© 2014 Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Venous aneurysms (VA) are a rare vascular pathology. First described by Sir William Osler in 1913,¹ VAs can be located anywhere throughout the venous system and do not have a preference regarding sex or age.²

VAs are usually located in the lower extremities, and can be deep or superficial, depending on whether the affected vein is over or under the muscle fascia. Deep VAs are the most frequent, because the popliteal vein is the most commonly affected (between 60% and 70% of

the cases), and the most studied because of their high thromboembolism risk.³

Superficial VAs are rare, with under 60 reported cases in medical literature⁴ and are taken less seriously than deep VAs, due to the fact that superficial VAs are considered to have a low risk of life-threatening complications.

The purpose of this article is to present a case of a patient affected by a thrombosed great saphenous vein aneurysm along with deep venous thrombosis. Additionally, the literature is reviewed with a discussion on clinical implications and the diagnostic and therapeutic approach of this lesser known vascular pathology.

Clinical case

The patient is a 72-year-old female, with no positive background of chronic degenerative diseases or of any other type, and a negative history of smoking. The patient

* Corresponding author at: Servicio de Cirugía Torácica y Cardiovascular del Hospital Universitario "Dr. José Eleuterio González", de la Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Av. Madero y Gonzalitos S/N, CP. 64460, Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico.

E-mail address: drfrendon@gmail.com (F.G. Rendón-Elías).




Figure 1 Reconstructed ultrasound image which shows an internal saphenous vein venous aneurism of 9×5 cm, which compression did not remove.

presented an increase in volume of the right pelvic limb 10 days after undergoing surgery to correct a direct inguinal hernia using the Bassini–Shouldice technique. The subject pointed out that for over 40 years she presented a soft tumor of approximately 10 cm, located in the middle third of the medial part of the right thigh 22 cm from the inguinal fold. The tumor was soft, palpable and painless with a variation in volume depending on the position and did not cause any discomfort. Subsequent to the hernioplasty the patient began noticing that the tumor hardened and caused pain, along with redness and an increase in local temperature, consequently the physician diagnosed a cellulitis and prescribed antibiotic therapy. The symptomatology did not improve with the prescribed treatment and now presented an increase in volume of the entire pelvic member. She was therefore referred to our service. During the examination a difference of 5 cm in volume between both pelvic members was observed, noticing a hard, non-mobile, painful lump of 9×6 cm. The rest of the examination was normal. A vascular ultrasound was performed, showing a non-palpable, anechoic growth, connected to the great saphenous vein, of 9×5 cm (Fig. 1) without the presence of venous reflex neither in the great saphenous nor in the saphenofemoral junction. Moreover, a posterior tibial vein thrombosis was detected. With a great saphenous vein aneurysm diagnosis, the patient was transferred to surgery where an aneurysmectomy and SFJ ligation were performed (Figs. 2 and 3).

There were no complications during the procedure, the evolution was good and the patient was discharged on the second postoperative day with a compressive and anticoagulant DVT treatment for a period of 3 months.

Discussion

The terminology used to describe venous dilatations can cause confusion. The terms phlebectasia, varicose vein and/or venous aneurysm are considered synonyms in the medical community; however, they mean different things. Phlebectasia is defined as a fusiform and diffusely dilated vein. The association of dilated and tortuous veins is known as varicose veins.⁵ There is no precise criteria regarding size and when a venous dilation is considered an aneurysm; however, Mateo⁶ and McDevitt⁷ established that whenever




Figure 2 Surgical excision of the internal saphenous vein venous aneurisms.

the vein's diameter is twice as large as the normal diameter, then it is considered to be an aneurysm (the saphenous vein's normal size at the saphenofemoral joint is 3–5 mm, 2–4 mm at the thigh and 1–3 mm at the ankle).⁸ Nevertheless, in order to consider it a primary venous aneurysm size is not the only factor considered. It must also be a localized dilation, conformed by three histological layers which constitute the normal venous wall. This could be saccular or



Figure 3 Thrombosed internal saphenous vein venous aneurism.

fusiform (an important distinction because of its hemodynamic implications that influence the course of treatment) and should only communicate to the corresponding vein in a proximal and distal manner and neither be secondary to an arteriovenous fistula,⁹ nor be related to a varicose vein.¹⁰ Hilscher coined the term primary venous aneurysm, making a comparison to arterial aneurysms. Abbott was the one who integrated the criteria to define VAs.¹¹ Our patient met the required criteria to classify her venous pathology as a primary venous aneurysm.

Primary VAs occur in the head and neck, thoracic and visceral veins as well as veins of the extremities. Aneurysms of the deep venous system are characteristic of the extremities, where a greater incidence of thromboembolic complications is reported.

The incidence of superficial venous aneurysms is approximately 0.1%,¹² with a prevalence of 1.5%,¹³ equally in both sexes and it may occur at any age.

The pathogenesis of venous aneurysms is unknown; several mechanisms have been implicated in its formation, such as hemodynamic changes, arteriovenous fistulae, inflammatory processes, infections, trauma, congenital weakness of the venous wall and degenerative changes.^{5,14} The most accepted theory states that it is a result of the loss of connective tissue components of the venous wall, which can be caused by a congenital defect or secondary to a degenerative process due to aging.^{15,16} In a recent study, tissue from the wall of venous aneurysms was examined and it was reported that structural changes of the aneurysmatic wall can be related to the increased expression of metalloproteinase,¹⁷ which can be translated to a reduction of the muscle layer, fragmented elastic tissue, an increase in the fibrous tissue and infiltration of inflammatory cells. Schatz and Fine,¹⁸ believed that edophlebohertrophy was a major factor in the formation of VA, which begins with an increase in venous flow leading to a hypertrophy of the venous wall, then dilation and sclerosis. Pascarella et al.⁴ informed that superficial VAs on lower limbs were generally located distal to an incompetent venous valve, which causes venous reflux, hitting the venous wall and producing a turbulent flow, causing structural changes on the wall of the spleen, while those in deep veins are secondary to intrinsic changes of the venous wall.

The difference among findings in the reports suggests that the etiology of VAs is diverse and depends on their location and natural history.^{16,19–22} In our case, the etiology may come as a result of a congenital defect in the connective tissue structure, which generated a weak venous wall susceptible to dilation, hence contributing to the onset of the inguinal hernia.

According to the etiopathogeny, VAs can be divided into primary (congenital) or acquired. Based on the Hamburg classification for congenital vascular malformations,²³ VAs correspond to a venous malformation of the troncular type, which can be presented as aplasia, hyperplasia, stenosis (i.e. the left iliac vein in May-Turner syndrome), dilations or aneurysms (the most common being the popliteal vein).²⁴

Congenital VAs are less common but can occur in any vein of the body. In a study, Gillespie et al.,² reported that 77% of VAs were located in the lower extremities (57% in the deep venous system), 10% in the upper extremities and 13% in the neck.

The clinical presentation of superficial VAs in lower extremities is that of a palpable soft lump. It can change its size and location with the body's position or the Valsalva maneuver; they can be completely asymptomatic or painful with edema, and have signs and symptoms similar to those described in our case.

The diagnosis can be made by the clinical history and physical examination in most cases, but it is usually confirmed by image studies. Within image studies, vascular ultrasound is the method of choice for the study of VAs.²⁵ In the ultrasound, VAs are presented as an anechoic cystic structure, with well-defined walls, which can be secular or fusiform and with a low flow volume; also, they provide us with information on vascular connections, the existence of thrombosis, or if there is an associated arteriovenous fistula or any other pathologies,²⁶ in addition to guiding the therapeutic approach. The CAT scan, the MRI and the phlebography are studies which can be performed in case of diagnostic doubt or when more exact information is required (size, extension, associated lesions and vascular origin).²⁷ Clinical history and examination are the basis for reaching any diagnosis, but in the case of venous problems, vascular ultrasound evaluation is fundamental to reaching a correct diagnosis, and thus choosing the proper treatment. It is only in case of doubt, where further imaging studies are required. In the differential diagnosis, we must consider varicose veins, soft tissue tumors, hygromas, hemangiomas and, depending on location, inguinal hernias. Vascular aneurysm complications are: thrombophlebitis, deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism and bleeding caused by rupture.

Coagulation disorders associated with VAs are characterized by blood stasis in the dilated vessels and with a low blood flow, which activates the coagulation cascade with the subsequent production of thrombin and the conversion of fibrinogen into fibrin.^{28,29} Then the fibrinolysis process begins which is reflected in the rise in fibrinogen derived products, including D-dimer. This is the simplified description of located intravascular coagulopathy which characterizes coagulopathy associated with venous malformations.^{30,31} Newly formed microthrombus attach to calcium and phleboliths are formed.^{32,33} These can be detected during physical examination and verified by imaging studies. The presence of phleboliths in VAs can indicate treatment with anticoagulants, especially in large and extensive VAs.³⁴ Localized intravascular coagulopathy is of utmost importance because it is linked to the presence of local pain, thrombophlebitis, deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism.

Even though deep venous aneurysms are more susceptible to presenting pulmonary embolism and their frequency is more common in this type of VA, superficial VAs are not free from presenting this complication. Due to this, they must be treated with anticoagulant therapy, just as the deep ones. Recapitulating Virchow's triad for venous thrombosis, which consists of venous stasis, hypercoagulability and endothelial lesion, in our case it presented venous stasis because of the hemodynamic changes brought on by the dimensions of the venous aneurysm, the existing coagulation disorders of this pathology associated with bed rest subsequent to hernioplasty, and the existing risk of thrombosis in any type of surgery, and constitute risk factors which contributed

to the presence of superficial and deep venous thrombosis described in our report.

Regarding the risk of VA rupture, it represents something theoretical since there are no reported cases of this complication.

Superficial VA treatment can be conservative, endovascular or surgical. If the VA is not too large and does not cause symptoms it may be treated conservatively through compressive therapy and prophylaxis in order to avoid thrombophlebitis or deep venous thrombosis. The indications for surgical treatment in superficial VAs are: the presence of symptoms, the risk of thrombosis, compression of nearby structures and, more commonly, esthetic problems.³⁵ Surgical treatment³⁶ consists of ligation and total excision of the aneurysm. However, in some cases, endovascular methods can be used, like foam, laser or radiofrequency sclerotherapy.³⁷ In the case of patients who present superficial venous thrombosis above the knee (as in our case) or deep venous thrombosis at any location, it is important to treat with anticoagulants for 3–6 months in patients with normal thrombophilic profiles, otherwise the anticoagulant is prescribed indefinitely. In the presented case, there is no doubt that the chosen surgical treatment is adequate and the treatment with anticoagulants due to the presence of deep thrombosis for a period of three months is acceptable.

The results of the treatment of these types of pathologies are excellent as long as they are not associated with other vascular malformations or pathologies; there are no reports of mortality in superficial VA surgical intervention in the lower extremities and the morbidity is the same as any venous surgical procedure.³⁶

In our case, the primary care physician diagnosed the increase in volume as a soft tissue tumor (first differential diagnosis which should be made) and since it was asymptomatic, they did not give it much importance despite the fact that the patient reported that the tumor was growing progressively. As a result of the inguinal hernioplasty the patient was on bed rest for two weeks. Surgical trauma and prolonged bed rest are risk factors for thrombosis. The patient did not receive prophylaxis for thrombosis.

At first, and because the tumor looked red and was causing severe pain, it was treated as if it was cellulitis (thrombophlebitis, which is commonly confused with soft tissue infections). It was not until the size of the patient's leg began to increase that there was a suspicion of venous thrombosis and the case was referred to our service.

Thus we conclude that it is important to: (1) perform the clinical history and a thorough physical examination in all of our patients, (2) we must keep in mind all possible differential diagnoses before giving a definite one, (3) one must never forget prophylaxis for deep vein thrombosis in surgical patients, (4) not all skin redness equals infection, (5) venous thrombosis must be ruled out in every patient who presents a sudden increase in leg volume, (6) vascular ultrasound is an essential tool for diagnosis and (7) venous aneurysms do exist and they are not just varicose veins.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding

No financial support was provided.

References

1. Osler W. An arterio-venous aneurysm of the axillary vessels of the 30 years' duration. *Lancet*. 1913;1:1248–9.
2. Gillespie DL, Villavicencio JL, Gallagher C, et al. Presentation and management of venous aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg*. 1997;26:845–52.
3. Sessa C, Perrin M, Porcu P, et al. Popliteal venous aneurysms. A two center experience with 21 cases and review of the literature. *Int J Angiol*. 2000;9:164–70.
4. Pascarella L, Al-Tuwaijri M, Bergan JJ, et al. Lower extremity superficial venous aneurysms. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2005;19:69–73.
5. Rodriguez HE, Pearce WH. The management of venous aneurysm. In: Glovicki P, Dalsing MC, Eklof BG, editors. *Handbook of venous disorders*. London: Hodder Arnold; 2009. p. 604–16.
6. Mateo AM, Mateo Martínez M. Aneurismas venosos. In: Estevan Solano JM, editor. *Tratado de aneurismas*. Barcelona: Uriach; 1997. p. 589–97.
7. McDevitt DT, Lohr JM, Martin KD, et al. Bilateral popliteal vein aneurysms. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 1993;7:282–6.
8. Hamper UM, DeJong MR, Scoutt LM. Valoración ecográfica de las venas de las extremidades inferiores. *Radiol Clin N Am*. 2007;45:525–48.
9. Sessa C, Perrin M, Nicolini P. Anévrismes veineux. *EMC-Chirurgie*. 2005;2:317–31.
10. Calligaro KD, Ahmad S, Dandora R, et al. Venous aneurysms: surgical indications and review of the literature. *Surgery*. 1995;117:1–6.
11. Hilscher WM. Zur Frage der venösen Aneurysmen. *Fortscher Röntgenstr*. 1995;82:2444–7.
12. Pascarella L, Al-Tuwaijri M, Bergan JJ, et al. Lower extremity superficial venous aneurysms. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2005;19:69–73.
13. Gillespie DL, Villavicencio JL, Gallagher C, et al. Presentation and management of venous aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg*. 1997;26:845–52.
14. Siani A, Accrocchia F, Gabrielli R, et al. An isolated aneurysm of the thigh anterolateral branch of the saphenous vein in a young patient. *Acta Phleb*. 2010;1:27–9.
15. Lev M, Saphir O. Endophlebohypertrophy and phlebosclerosis: II. The external and common iliac veins. *Am J Pathol*. 1952;28:401–11.
16. Friedman SG, Krishnasastri KV, Doscher W, et al. Primary venous aneurysms. *Surgery*. 1990;108:92–5.
17. Irwin C, Synn A, Kraiss L, et al. Metalloproteinase expression in venous aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008;48:1278–85.
18. Irwin J, Schatz MD, Gerald Fine MD. Venous aneurysms. *N Engl J Med*. 1962;266:1310–2.
19. Matsuura Y, Higo M, Yamashina H, et al. A case report of venous aneurysm of the neck vein. *Jpn J Surg*. 1981;11:39–42.
20. Gulbert MG, Greenberg LA, Brown WT, et al. Fusiform venous aneurysm of the neck in children: a report of four cases. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1972;7:106–11.
21. Gruber HP, Amiri MA, Fraedrich G, et al. Multiple venous aneurysms of the saphenous vein: report of an uncommon case. *Vasc Surg*. 1990;108:92–5.
22. Regina G, Rizzo S, Impedova G. Aneurysm of external jugular vein: case report and review of literature – a case report. *Angiology*. 1992;108:92–5.
23. Belov S. Classification, terminology and nosology of congenital vascular defects. In: Belov S, Loose DA, Weber J, editors. *Vascular malformations*. Reinbek, Germany: Einhorn-Press; 1989. p. 25–30.

24. Zamboni P, Cossu A, Carpanese L, et al. The so-called venous aneurysms. *Phlebology*. 1990;5:45–50.
25. Lapropoulos N, Volteas SK, Giannoukas AD, et al. Asymptomatic popliteal vein aneurysms. *Vasc Surg*. 1996;30:453–8.
26. Wolosker N, Zerati AE, Nishinari K, et al. Aneurysm of superior mesenteric vein: case report with 5-year follow-up and review of the literature. *J Vasc Surg*. 2004;39:459–61.
27. Watanabe A, Kusajima K, Aisaka N, et al. Idiopathic saccular azygos vein aneurysm. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1998;65:1459–61.
28. Hermans C, Dessomme B, Lambert C, et al. Venous malformations and coagulopathy. *Ann Chir Plast Esthet*. 2006;51:388–93.
29. Redondo P, Aguado L, Marquina M. Angiogenic and prothrombotic markers in extensive slow-flow vascular malformations: implications for antiangiogenic/antithrombotic strategies. *Br J Dermatol*. 2010;162:350–6.
30. Dompmartin A, Acher A, Thibon P, et al. Association of localized intravascular coagulopathy with venous malformations. *Arch Dermatol*. 2008;144:873–7.
31. Mazoyer E, Enjolras O, Bisdorff A, et al. Coagulation disorders in patients with venous malformation of limbs and trunk: a study in 118 patients. *Arch Dermatol*. 2008;144:861–7.
32. Hein KD, Mulliken JB, Kozakewich HP, et al. Venous malformations of skeletal muscle. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2002;110:1625–35.
33. Enjolras O, Wassef M, Mazoyer E, et al. Infants with Kasabach-Merritt syndrome do not have “true” hemangiomas. *J Pediatr*. 1997;130:631–40.
34. Mazoyer E, Enjolras O, Laurian C, et al. Coagulation abnormalities associated with extensive venous malformations of the limbs: differentiation from Kasabach–Merritt syndrome. *Clin Lab Haematol*. 2002;24:243–51.
35. Sessa C, Nicolini P, Perrin M, et al. Management of symptomatic and asymptomatic popliteal venous aneurysms: a retrospective analysis of 25 patients and review of the literature. *J Vasc Surg*. 2000;32:902–12.
36. Roh YN, Do YS, Park KB, et al. The results of surgical treatment for patients with venous malformations. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2012;26:665–73.
37. Lee BB. Endovascular management of the congenital vascular malformation (CVM) is not a panacea. Editorial. *Damar Cer Derg*. 2013;22:1–3.