The impact of exercise duration and intensity on the release of cardiac biomarkers
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Numerous studies have observed cardiac biomarker release with prolonged exercise. Despite this, we are unsure as to the constituent aspects of any given exercise bout that may be important in promoting cardiac biomarker release. This study examined the influence of exercise duration and intensity on the appearance of cardiac biomarkers. Twenty-one subjects ran for 45, 90 and 180 min at 85% and 95% of their individual anaerobic threshold on six different days randomized. Cardiac troponin I (cTnI) and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) were assayed from blood samples collected before, 30 min and 3 h post-exercise. NT-proBNP was elevated after all exercise trials (range before: 21–32; range post: 38–67 ng/L). Peak post-exercise concentrations of NT-proBNP were associated with exercise duration (P = 0.049), but not exercise intensity (P = 0.451). cTnI was elevated after all exercise trials (range before: 0.007–0.011; range post: 0.008–0.021 μg/L). Peak post-exercise concentrations of cTnI were associated with exercise duration (P = 0.003) and intensity (P = 0.037). Data suggest that while both cTnI and NT-proBNP increased after all exercise trials, the mediating effect of duration influenced both NT-proBNP and cTnI while intensity influenced only cTnI.

An increasing number of studies have shown that prolonged strenuous exercise promotes the elevation of cardiac-specific biomarkers, troponins [cardiac troponin T (cTnT) and cTnI] and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), known to serologically identify cardiac injury and dysfunction (Herrmann et al., 2003; Vidotto et al., 2005; Shave et al., 2007b; La Gerche et al., 2008). As a consequence, an intense debate has developed over the clinical repercussions for recreational athletes competing in long-distance events (Herrmann et al., 2003; Neilan et al., 2006; La Gerche et al., 2008). As a consequence, an intense debate has developed over the clinical repercussions for recreational athletes competing in long-distance events (Herrmann et al., 2003; Neilan et al., 2006; La Gerche et al., 2008). While most scientific literature has reported that cardiac biomarkers decrease to normal levels in the days following a competition (Herrmann et al., 2003; La Gerche et al., 2008), suggestive of a physiological response (Scharhag et al., 2005, 2006), three recent studies have shown an association between the increase in cardiac biomarkers and echocardiographic evidence of a reduction in cardiac function following completion of a marathon (Neilan et al., 2006) or an ultra-endurance triathlon (Tulloh et al., 2006; La Gerche et al. 2008). The awareness, and clinical interpretation, of exercise-related changes in cardiac-specific biomarkers is complicated by high inter-individual variation in available field-based competitive data (Shave et al., 2007b) that may be partially explained by large variations in exercise parameters such as duration and intensity. Field-based competitive studies are normally based on set distance courses (marathon, triathlon) and as such exercise duration and intensity are highly variable. On this basis, the influence, for example, of exercise duration on cardiac-specific biomarker release is poorly understood and controversial. Neilan et al. (2006) found that those runners with lower training experience showed the greatest increase in cTnT following a marathon race. Nevertheless, the authors did not report the correlation level between exercise duration and cTnT release. In contrast, Rifai et al. (1999) observed a significant inverse correlation between the race time in an Ironman event and post-race cTnT. In other individual competitions, exercise duration has not been associated with increases in cTnI or cTnT (Scharhag et al., 2005, 2006; George et al., 2004) but recently, a
meta-analysis reported that post-exercise cTnT values decreased slightly as the event duration increased (Shave et al., 2007b), which was speculated to be related to lower exercise intensities in ultra-endurance events. Researches assessing cardiac-specific biomarkers in association the manipulation of exercise intensity and duration are not available. While the clinical significance of the increase in cardiac-specific biomarkers following strenuous endurance exercise in healthy athletes is unclear, the importance and role of factors that may promote biomarker release should be documented in well-controlled studies.

**Material and methods**

**Subjects and design**

Twenty-one runners, 19 males (age: 38 ± 8 years; height: 1.77 ± 0.07 m; body mass: 73.6 ± 6.3 kg; VO2max: 38.0 ± 5.1 mL/kg/min; training history: 11 ± 8 years, training volume: 75 ± 35 km/week) and two females (age: 38.5 ± 0.7 years; height: 1.55 ± 0.06 m; body mass: 52.7 ± 6.2 kg; VO2max: 49.3 ± 3.9 mL/kg/min; training history: 8 ± 4 years, training volume: 48 ± 18 km/week), scheduled to participate in the 2008 Zaragoza marathon race, were recruited by an open invitation linked to the official web page for the race organization. After an initial meeting, where the aim and protocol of the research were explained, all subjects provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Government of Aragón (Spain).

To exclude acute or chronic diseases, an initial medical examination including recording the history of cardiac symptoms, resting blood pressure, electrocardiography and standard echocardiography was performed. In addition, a functional test for the determination of the maximum oxygen uptake (VO2max) and parameters corresponding to the individual anaerobic threshold (IAT) was performed.

On 6 days separated by 1 week, and in a randomized order, athletes performed exercise trials of 45-, 90- and 180-min duration at intensities of 85% and 95% IAT on a natural circuit (distance: 4600 m, maximum slope: 2%). An intensity of 95% IAT was chosen as a representation of the maximal sustainable intensity for 3 h of exercise, and an intensity of 85% IAT as a submaximal intensity for each duration that would be completed at an heart rate (HR) significantly lower than the 95% IAT trial. This may be more akin to a training run. Intensities were determined by HRs and checked by HR monitoring of the submaximal bouts (Polar, Kempele, Finland). A maximum variation of ±2 beats/min was allowed between the prescribed HR and the actual measured value. Venous blood samples to measure cardiac biomarkers were taken before, 30 min and 3 h after each exercise. Runners were advised to avoid strenuous exercise 2 days before each trial. All trials took place between May and June, with small variations in temperature (16.8 ± 2.0 °C) and humidity (67.7 ± 10.0%).

**Exercise ergometry**

To determine the IAT using the method of Stegmann et al. (1981), incremental multistage treadmill ergometry (Lauergotest, Jaeger, Germany) was started at 8 km/h, and increased by 2 km/h every 3 min until exhaustion. Capillary blood samples were taken from the hyperaemized earlobe at the end of each stage and 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 20 min after cessation of exercise to determine lactate concentrations (1500 sport YSI, Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA). In addition, a 12-lead ECG, blood pressure and VO2 (CPX(MAX MedGraphics, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA) were recorded.

**Cardiac-specific biomarkers**

Before and after all prolonged exercise trials, blood samples were drawn from an antecubital vein and collected in tubes. Samples were quickly centrifuged and the plasma was stored below −80 °C. NT-proBNP was measured by chemiluminescence on an automated analyzer (Elecsys proBNP; Elecsys 2010; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The URL for NT-proBNP was considered to be 125 ng/L (Al-Barjas et al., 2004). cTnI was measured by chemiluminescence immunoassays on automated analyzers (AccuTnI, Access; Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany). The URL for cTnI, defined as the 99th percentile of healthy participants, was 0.04 μg/L (Apple et al., 2003).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences, version 15.0. Data are expressed as means with the corresponding standard deviations. Initially, to also assess the impact of measurement time (pre, 30 min and 3 h post), a repeated-measures three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was run on NT-proBNP and cTnI data. Consequently, two-way ANOVAs (duration and intensity) were performed on peak post-exercise concentrations of NT-proBNP and cTnI data as well as exercise HR and distance covered. *Post hoc* Tukey tests were used where appropriate. In order to observe the presence of significance differences among the exercise trials in the percentage of subjects with post-exercise cardiac biomarkers values that exceeded the URL, a comparison of the proportions was performed using a chi-squared analysis. A multivariate linear regression was used to assess the relationships between the absolute and the relative exercise-related increase in cardiac biomarkers as dependent variables and baseline biomarker data, age, VO2max and velocity at IAT as the independent predictors.

**Results**

**IAT test data, HR and distance covered**

The IAT was identified at 12.7 ± 1.8 km/h, HR: 155 ± 8 beats/min, %HRmax: 85 ± 6, %VO2max: 77 ± 10. HR at 95% and 85% of the IAT was established as 147 ± 7 beats/min (82 ± 3%HRmax) and 132 ± 6 beats/min (73 ± 3%HRmax), respectively. All runners were able to complete all exercise trials at the prescribed intensities. For HR during exercise (see Table 1), there was no significant effect of duration or a duration × intensity interaction (P > 0.05). As would be expected, HR was significantly altered by exercise intensity (P = 0.000). For distance covered during each exercise trial (Table 1), there was, as expected, a significant effect of duration (P = 0.000), intensity (P = 0.000) and interaction (P = 0.000).
**NT-proBNP and exercise duration and intensity**

Pre-exercise values of NT-proBNP were not significantly different for all trials. From the three-way ANOVA, a significant main effect of assessment time (pre: 25.76 ng/L; post 30 min: 51.59 ng/L; post 3 h: 51.14 ng/L; \(P = 0.000\)) confirmed an exercise response (Table 2). Analysis of peak responses post-exercise detailed a significant main effect for duration of trial (\(P = 0.049\)) but no main effect of intensity (\(P = 0.451\)) or interaction (\(P = 0.810\)). Pre-exercise values NT-proBNP were below the URL of 125 ng/L in all participants. Post-exercise, there were no significant differences among the exercise trials in the percentage of subjects who exceeded the URL (range: 5–14%). The exercise-related increases in NT-proBNP were independent of the age, training status, VO2max and the other variables controlled in the study. In all exercise trials, the resting values of NT-proBNP were significantly correlated (Fig. 1) with the absolute post-exercise values (\(r = 0.87–0.96, P = 0.000\)) as well as with relative changes with exercise (\(r = 0.65–0.80, P = 0.000\)).

**cTnI and exercise duration and intensity**

Pre-exercise values of cTnI were not significantly different for all trials. From the three-way ANOVA, a significant main effect of assessment time (pre: 0.008; post 30 min: 0.011; post 3 h: 0.013 µg/L; \(P = 0.000\)) confirmed an exercise response (Table 3). Analysis of peak responses post-exercise detailed significant main effects for duration (\(P = 0.003\)) and intensity (\(P = 0.037\)) of trial but no interaction (\(P = 0.574\)). All pre-exercise cTnI concentrations were below the URL, with the exception of one subject, who was slightly above the URL on two days. Post-exercise, there were no significant differences among the exercise trials in the percentage of subjects who exceeded the URL of cTnI (range: 0–9%). The exercise-related increases in cTnI were independent of the age, training status, VO2max and the other variables controlled in the study. In all exercise trials, the resting values of cTnI were significantly correlated with the post-exercise values (\(r = 0.45–0.86, P < 0.05\)) (Fig. 2), but were not associated with the delta change scores.

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates, for the first time, by controlled manipulation in the same cohort of endurance runners, the influence of exercise duration and intensity on post-exercise elevations in cardiac-specific biomarkers. The key finding was that exercise duration has a mediating effect on peak post-exercise concentrations of NT-proBNP and cTnI, whereas exercise intensity significantly influences only cTnI.

### Table 1. Mean exercise HR and distances covered in the exercise trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HR (beats/min)</th>
<th>Distance covered (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85% IAT</td>
<td>95% IAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>132 ± 7</td>
<td>147 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>132 ± 6</td>
<td>147 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 min</td>
<td>133 ± 7</td>
<td>147 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from other exercise intensity.

### Table 2. NT-proBNP levels (ng/L) before and after the exercise trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>85% IAT</th>
<th>95% IAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-exercise</td>
<td>30 min post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>27 ± 26</td>
<td>38 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 ± 29</td>
<td>42 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 min</td>
<td>23 ± 25</td>
<td>67 ± 57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant main effect for exercise duration but no significant interaction.

IAT, individual anaerobic threshold.
An increase in the post-exercise NT-proBNP concentration with longer exercise bouts is not surprising when one considers that physiologically and pathologically BNP is elevated in response to volume overload and myocyte stretch (Shave et al., 2007a). Indirect support for the impact of exercise duration comes from data produced in ultra-endurance studies such that NT-proBNP concentrations after 180 min of running at 95% IAT were smaller than concentrations observed after a 230 km cycle race (Neumayr et al., 2005). Despite higher NT-proBNP values after the exercise trials at 95% IAT, these differences were not significant compared with the 85% IAT trial. This may reflect the small absolute and relative difference in exercise load between these two intensities. With a high inter-individual response to exercise (Neumayr et al., 2005), the small increase in ventricular load or stretch in the current design may be statistically underpowered to detect small differences. With a high inter-individual response to exercise (Neumayr et al., 2005), the small increase in ventricular load or stretch in the current design may be statistically underpowered to detect small differences. Again, of interest is the fact that values of the post-exercise cardiac biomarkers observed in the 90- and 180-min trials at 95% IAT were lower than the concentrations reported for competitive events such as the 21 km race (Vidotto et al., 2005; Tian et al., 2006) and a marathon (Neilan et al., 2006; Shave et al., 2007b), which, although could be influenced partially by a greater training status in our runners, may suggest that 95% IAT underestimates the actual competitive running speed. Indeed, from within our own cohort, we have evidence from HR data collected during competitive running events that 95% IAT underpredicted maximal sustainable running HR in these athletes by 20 beats/min in a 21 km race and by 13 beats/min in a marathon race. Thus, despite a lack of statistically significant difference in NT-proBNP data between running at 85% and 95% IAT, it would be too presumptuous, at this stage, to suggest there is no broad effect of exercise intensity on this biomarker.

The fact that the cTnI values were increased in the longer as well as in the more intense bouts of exercise again likely reflects an impact of exercise volume and cardiac work. No previous studies have assessed cTn responses in the same runners while systematically varying exercise duration and intensity but a recent meta-analysis (Shave et al., 2007b) reported that the occurrence of “positive” cTnT assays after prolonged exercise was mediated by exercise duration, with fewer responders after ultraendurance exercise (e.g. Ironman) compared with shorter endurance events (e.g. Marathons). These authors speculated that this could reflect a reduced exercise intensity sustained over more prolonged competitive events. Roth et al. (2007) further found no cTnT-positive rate in seven adult runners after a 216 km ultraendurance marathon on run. The negative effect of exercise duration on cTnT release was postulated as a result of the corresponding reduction in the exercise intensity and the resultant low stress placed on the cardiovascular system (Scharhag et al. 2008). This serves to indicate some of the problems in delineating the effects of exercise duration and intensity on responses seen in competitive running. It is pertinent to note that cTnI was also elevated from baseline after a shorter less intense exercise that may actually fit with our recent case-study finding of elevated cTnI after walking exercise (unpublished observations). The significant impact of exercise intensity on peak post-run cTnI in the current study may coincide with the increased level of free radicals that others have speculated may be involved in the mechanism of cTn release from cardiomyocytes post-exercise (Shave et al., 2007b).
et al., 2007b). At present, this theory has no substantive evidence to support it but is worthy of an ongoing investigation.

Although we do not fully understand the physiological and/or clinical link between the cardiac-specific biomarker changes after prolonged exercise, the current data (in absolute and URL terms) suggest a minor and possibly physiological perturbation. With implications for the acute care management of endurance athletes, it is pertinent to note that only a relatively small percentage of runners demonstrated either NT-proBNP or cTnI increases beyond their respective URL even in the exercise trial of the greatest duration and intensity (<15%), although the current data may underpredict biomarker values seen after competitive events (Vidotto et al., 2005; Neilan et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2006; Shave et al., 2007b). A technical reason for the low biomarker concentrations and limited data exceeding URLs may relate to the frequency of blood sampling during and post-exercise (Middleton et al., 2008). Recently, Middleton et al. (2008) were the first to assay cTnT repeatedly during a laboratory treadmill-based marathon and multiple samples over the first 24 h of recovery. Interestingly, with a greater sampling frequency, this paper reported an elevation of cTnT in all subjects and thus it is clear that fewer samples would likely increase the chance of missing “true” peak concentrations and data exceeding URLs. Future work should attempt to replicate this finding and determine whether this response is mediated by subject- and exercise-related factors. The hypothetical model proposed by Whyte (2008), suggesting that a limit exists in the exercise duration and/or the intensity that determines the point of inflexion of the healthful or harmful effects of the physical activity on the heart, is interesting but remains unsupported by the current data. Our results suggest, like others (Middleton et al., 2008), that the increase in cardiac biomarkers is probably inherent to the physical activity, but that their magnitude is low or insignificant if the exercise intensity and duration are moderate.

It is interesting that the only factor that significantly predicted post-exercise values of NT-proBNP or cTnI was their respective pre-exercise values. Specifically, our results confirm for the NT-proBNP values those observed by Sahlé et al. (2008), and indicate for the first time, for the cTnI values, that exercise in runners with greater resting values induced a greater absolute increase in cardiac-specific biomarkers. This has been suggested previously for NT-proBNP, with possible mediation via increased age (Neumayr et al., 2005), although age was not a significant predictor in the current study. Given that the resting NT-proBNP data demonstrated high reproducibility even after a 3-year period (Sahleen et al., 2008), these results suggest a more complex interaction of baseline, prior exercise and exercise-related factors that mediate cardiac biomarker responses to prolonged exercise. In the general population, the baseline NT-proBNP value is influenced by age and sex (Olsen et al., 2008) while in recreational athletes, greater NT-proBNP levels at baseline have been associated weakly with increased LV mass index (Sahleen et al., 2008) and with age (Koenig et al., 2003). Further exploratory research in a broad range of normal and athletic groups is suggested. In a similar way, this study demonstrated that the post-exercise levels of cTnI were associated with the baseline concentrations. As with NT-proBNP, these results suggest the importance of understanding what factors are associated with baseline levels of cTnI. Specifically, further analysis with highly sensitive assays in a broad range of normal and athletic populations would be useful.

The influence of gender on exercise-related changes in cardiac-specific biomarkers is an interesting point that is not clear at present. This aspect could not be addressed in our study due to the fact that only two female runners were recruited. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that the relationship between exercise duration and intensity on the appearance of cardiac biomarkers as well as its kinetic could be influenced by the gender.

In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that an exercise-induced increase in NT-proBNP is influenced more by exercise duration than intensity, while changes in cTnI are mediated by both in endurance-trained runners. The percentage of cases demonstrating post-exercise NT-proBNP and cTnI levels above their respective URLs was low, probably because the exercise volume in this repeated measures study was moderate. Further work looking at cTn appearance using the standard exercise prescription in healthy subjects and in cardiac patients may be useful.

**Perspectives**

In this study, we highlighted that exercise duration and intensity are factors associated with the release of cardiac biomarkers. These results are important to inform and educate those who may measure cTn in subjects who have performed recent bouts of physical exertion. Future work may develop this area by investigating cTn release and exercise (possibly influenced by duration and intensity) in healthy subjects and cardiac patients. Finally, this investigation prompts further research into the factors associated with the inter-subject variability in the baseline and exercise-related values of NT-proBNP and cTnI.
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