



Occurrence and quantitative microbial risk assessment of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in soil and air samples



Ana Paola Balderrama-Carmona^a, Pablo Gortáres-Moroyoqui^{a,*},
Luis Humberto Álvarez-Valencia^{a,b}, Luciano Castro-Espinoza^a,
Iram Mondaca-Fernández^a, José de Jesús Balderas-Cortés^a,
Cristóbal Chaidez-Quiroz^c, María Mercedes Meza-Montenegro^a

^a Instituto Tecnológico de Sonora, Departamento de Biotecnología y Ciencias Alimentarias, 5 de febrero 818 Sur, colonia Centro CP 85000, Cd. Obregón, Sonora, Mexico

^b Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, San Nicolás de los Garza, NL, Mexico

^c Centro de Investigación en Alimentación y Desarrollo, Departamento de Inocuidad Alimentaria, Culiacán, Sinaloa, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 January 2014

Received in revised form 2 May 2014

Accepted 8 May 2014

Corresponding Editor: Eskild Petersen, Aarhus, Denmark

Keywords:

Microbiological risk

Cryptosporidium

Giardia

Air

Soil

SUMMARY

Background: *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts can be transmitted by the fecal–oral route and may cause gastrointestinal parasitic zoonoses. These zoonoses are common in rural zones due to the parasites being harbored in fecally contaminated soil. This study assessed the risk of illness (giardiasis and cryptosporidiosis) from inhaling and/or ingesting soil and/or airborne dust in Potam, Mexico.

Methods: To assess the risk of infection, Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA) was employed, with the following steps: (1) hazard identification, (2) hazard exposure, (3) dose–response, and (4) risk characterization.

Results: *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts were observed in 52% and 57%, respectively, of total soil samples ($n = 21$), and in 60% and 80%, respectively, of air samples ($n = 12$). The calculated annual risks were higher than 9.9×10^{-1} for both parasites in both types of sample.

Conclusions: Soil and air inhalation and/or ingestion are important vehicles for these parasites. To our knowledge, the results obtained in the present study represent the first QMRAs for cryptosporidiosis and giardiasis due to soil and air inhalation/ingestion in Mexico. In addition, this is the first evidence of the microbial air quality around these parasites in rural zones.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

1. Introduction

Cryptosporidium and *Giardia* are pathogens transmitted by the fecal–oral route causing gastrointestinal infections in both humans and animals.¹ The public health importance of both parasites results from the very low infectious dose required to cause illness, their resistance to chemical disinfection, and the long period of viability in the environment.² The infective stages of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, termed oocysts and cysts, respectively, can be disseminated successfully across several environmental matrices including water, food, and soil.^{3,4} Among these environmental

matrices, soil represents an important vehicle through which *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* infect humans. Soil is contaminated by effluents (water runoff, rain and floods, and wastewater) carrying human and non-human fecal material.^{3,5}

Parasite exposure caused by soil inhalation/ingestion is a serious health risk to children, who often play outdoors and deliberately place their hands in their mouths. The estimated average soil ingestion by children can reach between 5 and 8 g per day.⁶ In adults, small soil particles are inadvertently ingested at a value around 0.01 g per day.⁷

The soil in rural areas is highly predisposed to direct contamination with fecal material because of the lack of sanitary infrastructure (lack of proper water and sewage services, street pavements, and others), resulting in a greater dispersion of soil via airborne dust during the dry season, particularly in those places where people are exposed to large amounts of outdoor dust.⁸

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +64 4100900 ext. 2110.

E-mail addresses: pablo.gortares@itson.edu.mx,
pablo.gortares@gmail.com (P. Gortáres-Moroyoqui).

The process for determining health risk is termed Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA),⁹ which involves four steps: (1) hazard identification, consisting of the estimation of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* (oo)cysts in soil and air using the Information Collection Requirement Rule (ICR) method, (2) hazard exposure for the Potam population, (3) dose–response modeling, and (4) risk characterization using an exponential model.¹⁰

Rural areas in Mexico are extensive, and inhabitants of these areas are more in contact with soil and air presumably contaminated with fecal pathogens.⁸ The objective of this study was to assess the human health risk of illness by *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts from exposure to soil and air in Potam, Sonora, Mexico.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

Potam is located in the municipality of Guaymas, Sonora, Mexico. It is one of eight rural villages of the Yaqui tribe, which has a population of 6417,¹¹ and it is situated 10 m above sea level at global position 27°37'35" N, 110°24'52" W.

2.2. Identification and characterization of oocysts and cysts in soil and airborne dust samples

Soil samples were collected from five different areas inside the community, once every 2 months for 8 months (July 2010 to February 2011). Soil samples (2–3 kg) were obtained from a 0.9-m² area and between 0 and 5 cm of depth. Air samples were collected every 2 weeks for 8 months (August 2010 to April 2011), using a portable air sampler (Graseby GMW) located in the town center, at an elevation of 1.70 m from the ground. The air sampler flow rate was operated at between 1200 and 1800 l/min. Glass microfiber filters (934-AH RTU 90-mm; Whatman; Kent, UK) were used to retain airborne dust as total suspended particulates (TSP) or particulate matter (PM).

2.2.1. Sample characterization

The soil composition was obtained according to NOM-021-SEMARNAT (2000), which includes the following parameters: soil moisture, texture (by Bouyoucos technique), density, and organic matter (by Walkley–Black method). The TSP in the air was determined as defined in NOM-CCAM-002-ECOL (1993).

2.2.2. Detection of oocysts and cysts

Only 20 g of each soil sample was processed, whereas in the case of air samples, each filter was processed. The 20-g soil samples and each air filter were eluted by adding 0.2 l of buffered phosphate detergent solution, in accordance with the guidelines of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).¹² The preparation obtained from each sample was concentrated by centrifugation at 1050 × g for 10 min. The pellets were purified by flotation using a Percoll–sucrose solution with a specific gravity of 1.1, stained with a specific direct fluorescent antibody (Aqua-Glo G/C Kit; Waterborne Inc., New Orleans, LA, USA), and examined under an epifluorescence microscope (Axiolab; Zeiss, Heidenheim, Germany). The results were reported as the concentration (C) in terms of oocysts (*Cryptosporidium*) or cysts (*Giardia*) per liter of air filtered in the case of air samples, or per gram in the case of soil samples. For negative samples, the reported concentration was the detection limit.

As quality control, the Aqua-Glo G/C Kit was used to evaluate the recovery efficiency (R). Soil (20 g) and filters used to collect air samples were intentionally inoculated with a known concentration of oocysts or cysts and were then processed as described

above. The R value, reported as a percentage, was calculated as follows:

$$R = \frac{(C_o - C)}{C_o} \times 100$$

where C_o is the known initial concentration of (oo)cysts in the matrix (soil or filters to collect air samples) and C is the estimated concentration of (oo)cysts recovered once the ICR protocol was developed. The reported R values are the arithmetic mean of triplicate results.

2.3. Exposure assessment

Exposure (N) was evaluated considering the following factors: (oo)cyst concentration (C) per gram for soil samples or per liter for air samples; amount of matrix (soil or air) ingested or inhaled per day (M); the recovery efficiency of the method (R), which is considered to avoid underestimation of (oo)cyst concentrations and therefore miscalculation of exposure; and finally the fraction of detected (oo)cysts capable of causing infection (I).^{13,14} The following equation was applied to determine exposure assessment:

$$N = CR^{-1}IM$$

2.4. Dose–response modeling and risk characterization

An exponential dose–response model was used for risk characterization.¹⁰ The exponential model is given by the following equation:

$$P_{id} = 1 - e^{-rN}$$

where P_{id} is the probability of daily risk of infection, N is the exposure as estimated above, and r is the probability that the organism survives to initiate an infectious focus. The r-values are 0.00419 and 0.0199 for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, respectively.¹⁰ Although current research has reported a new r-value for *Cryptosporidium* ($r = 0.09$),¹⁵ which increases the likelihood of risks because the infectious dose is lower, the r-value for *Cryptosporidium* in the present study was 0.00419.

The estimated daily risk could be extrapolated to calculate the risk of illness over extended periods according to the following equation:

$$P_{iy} = 1 - (1 - P_{id})^{(n)}$$

where P_{iy} is the probability of yearly risk of infection, n is the number of days that an individual is exposed to the amount of protozoa, and P_{id} is the daily risk.

Assuming, the risk of illness for both parasites is independent but accumulative, the total risk (soil + airborne dust) can be estimated as follows:

$$P_t = 1 - e^{-rN_t}$$

where P_t is the probability of total risk and N_t is the total exposure to pathogens in both samples.

3. Results

3.1. Hazard identification and characterization

The soil characteristics are given in Table 1. The TSP value in Potam was $846.0 \pm 523.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, which is higher than Mexican guidelines ($210.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, NOM-024-SSA1-1993). *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts were observed in 52% and 57%, respectively,

Table 1
Soil sample characteristics

Potam district	Texture	Moisture (%)	Bulk density (g/cm ³)	Organic matter (%)
Pozo	Clay loam	3.04	1.14	1.98
Tinaco	Clay loam	2.41	1.16	1.47
Centro	Clay loam	2.83	1.17	0.62
Merida	Clayey	3.01	1.12	1.23
Santa Emea	Clay loam	1.33	1.10	0.47

of total soil samples ($n = 21$), and in 60% and 80%, respectively, of air samples ($n = 12$) (Table 2). R -values in soil and air are shown in Table 3.

3.2. Exposure assessment

Assuming a soil consumption (M_s) of 0.02 g/day in adults¹⁶ and 0.5 g/day in children under 7 years old,¹⁷ and the exposure parameters (N) given in Table 3, the estimated N in adults and children by soil ingestion is 2.89 and 72 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts, respectively, and 2.19 and 54 *Giardia* cysts, respectively.

Air consumption (M_a) was calculated using the following equation:

$$M_a = WaTvB$$

where Wa is the average weight (54.2 kg) of people in Potam,¹⁸ Tv is the volume of air inspired with normal breathing (6 ml/kg weight), and B is the breaths per minute (18).¹⁹ Therefore M_a was 1404 liters per 4 h. Based on these calculations and parameters given in Table 3, exposure due to air inhalation is 18.6 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and 15.3 *Giardia* cysts.

3.3. Dose–response modeling and risk characterization

The risks of cryptosporidiosis and giardiasis for soil ingestion in adults and children are given in Table 4; these results show that the risks are considerably higher in children than in adults. The risks of cryptosporidiosis and giardiasis in 4 h of exposure to air are shown in Table 5.

3.4. Total risk

The total daily risk considering soil ingestion of 0.02 g and air inhalation/ingestion of 1404 l was 6.8×10^{-2} for *Cryptosporidium* and 2.3×10^{-1} for *Giardia*. The total annual risk of both parasites

Table 2
Cryptosporidium oocysts and *Giardia* cysts in environmental samples^a

Sample	Air		Soil	
	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> (oocysts/l)	<i>Giardia</i> (cysts/l)	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> (oocysts/l)	<i>Giardia</i> (cysts/l)
1	$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	2.3×10^{-3}	<6.5	<6.5
2	$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	2.7×10^{-3}	43.5	72.91
3	4.5×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-3}	37.5	29.16
4	1.1×10^{-2}	2.4×10^{-3}	<6.5	<6.5
5	8.3×10^{-3}	2.6×10^{-3}	<6.5	<6.5
6	4.5×10^{-3}	$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	<6.5	29.16
7	5.3×10^{-3}	5.2×10^{-3}	43.5	72.91
8	5.6×10^{-3}	8.7×10^{-3}	<6.25	87.5
9	$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	43.5	<6.5
10	$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	2.2×10^{-3}	<6.5	<6.5
11	4.7×10^{-3}	2.1×10^{-3}	<6.5	<6.5
12	4.3×10^{-3}	2.6×10^{-3}	14.58	58.33
13			43.5	72.91
14			37.5	58.33
15			14.58	72.91
16			<6.5	<6.5
17			<6.5	<6.5
18			37.5	58.33
19			43.5	72.91
20			<6.5	29.16
21			14.58	58.33
GM	0.0032	0.0025	14.75	22.9
Min/max	0.001/0.011	0.001/0.0087	6.5/43.5	6.5/87.5

GM, geometric mean.

^a The '<' symbol indicates the concentration detection limits.

was 1.0, with the same consideration for soil and air inhaled/ingested.

4. Discussion

4.1. Soil

Cryptosporidium oocysts and *Giardia* cysts were found in all environmental soil samples. On dairy farms, 17% and 4% of soil samples were found to be positive for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, respectively.²⁰ Oocyst and cyst numbers in this study are higher than those reported on the dairy farms. However, the oocyst numbers in soil obtained in this study (Table 2) are similar to those reported in crop soils (0 to 640 oocysts/g).²¹

According to Armon et al.,²¹ the higher the moisture in soil, the higher the concentration of cysts detected. High concentrations of (oo)cysts obtained in soil can be associated with the presence of clay particles and a high concentration of organic matter, which

Table 3
Parameters used for exposure calculation

	Parameters to consider for exposure ($N = CR^{-1}IM$)	Data
Air	Concentration of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> oocysts/l (C)	Range 0.001–0.011; GM 0.0032 ^a
	Concentration of <i>Giardia</i> cysts/l (C)	Range 0.001/0.0087; GM 0.0025 ^a
	Recovery efficiency of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> and <i>Giardia</i> (R)	16.19% and 15.38%, respectively ^a
	Cyst and oocyst viability (I)	100%
	Amount of air inhaled (M)	1404 liters ^b
Soil	Concentration of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> oocysts/g (C)	Range 6.5–43.5; GM 14.75 ^a
	Concentration of <i>Giardia</i> cysts/g (C)	Range 6.5–87.5; GM 22.9 ^a
	Recovery efficiency of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> and <i>Giardia</i> (R)	10.18% and 20.83%, respectively ^a
	Cyst and oocyst viability (I)	100%
	Amount of soil ingested (M)	0.02 g for adults ¹⁶ and 0.5 g for children ¹⁷

GM, geometric mean.

^a All data were calculated in the present study; see section "Detection of oocysts and cysts".

^b The amount of air inhaled was calculated in the section "Exposure assessment".

Table 4Daily and annual risks for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in adults and children, with an amount of soil ingested (*M*) of 0.02 g and 0.5 g per day, respectively^a

Risk of infection	Concentration in soil						
	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> (oocysts/g)			<i>Giardia</i> (cysts/g)			
	Min	GM	Max	Min	GM	Max	
	6.5	14.75	43.5	6.5	22.9	87.5	
Adults	Daily	5.3×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{-2}	3.5×10^{-2}	7.3×10^{-3}	2.5×10^{-2}	9.5×10^{-2}
	Annual	8.5×10^{-1}	9.8×10^{-1}	9.9×10^{-1}	9.3×10^{-1}	9.9×10^{-1}	1
Children	Daily	1.2×10^{-1}	2.6×10^{-1}	5.9×10^{-1}	1.6×10^{-1}	4.8×10^{-1}	9.1×10^{-1}
	Annual	1	1	1	1	1	1

GM, geometric mean.

^a The *r*-values are 0.00419 and 0.0199 for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, respectively.¹⁰**Table 5**Daily and annual risks for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* due to ingestion of oocysts or cysts in air during 4 h of exposure per day

Risk of infection	Concentrations in air					
	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> (oocysts/l)			<i>Giardia</i> (cysts/l)		
	Min	GM	Max	Min	GM	Max
	0.001	0.003	0.01	0.001	0.002	0.008
Daily	4.5×10^{-2}	1.1×10^{-1}	3.4×10^{-1}	6.1×10^{-1}	2.3×10^{-1}	9.5×10^{-2}
Annual	9.9×10^{-1}	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1

GM, geometric mean.

The *r*-values are 0.00419 and 0.0199 for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, respectively.¹⁰

can provide protection for microorganisms.²² The recovery efficiency of oocysts in soil samples reported by Kuczynska and Shelton ranged between 6% and 18%,²³ which is similar to the values obtained in the present study.

Although risk assessments in soil for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* have not been reported, a lot of research exists on the risk assessment for these parasites in different water sources. The risk of illness in water has been shown to range from 1×10^{-6} to 1 as a function of water quality.^{24–27}

4.2. Air

People habitually breathe through the nose; when abundant air passes through the nose, the dust is bound by cilia, preventing the particles from reaching the pulmonary bronchi. The agglomerated dust in the cilia passes to the pharynx, and the esophagus transports the dust to the stomach.²⁴ During mouth breathing, the airborne dust particles pass directly to the throat and into the stomach. Thus, pathogens that are transported in air and transmitted by the fecal–oral route can infect people.

In Potam, the content of (oo)cysts in air may be associated with TSP values. However, a direct relationship between oocyst/cyst concentrations and TSP detected in air samples was not found. In the study by Cummins et al.,²⁵ TSP results were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$) for the months sampled, the same finding as that obtained in the present research.

The risk of illness for air inhalation is very high (Table 5), even above the water guidelines (1.0×10^{-4}).⁴ The infectious illness estimates detailed in Tables 4 and 5 may be overestimates as a result of assuming that all of the (oo)cysts (detected in soil and air) were viable when swallowed (samples may have included non-infectious (oo)cysts).

Further research is necessary, extended to other rural areas that need an assessment of the risk for protozoan pathogens in air and soil. Regardless of these characteristic limitations in the QMRA method, this study offers microbial data that could be valuable in the design of strategies or guidelines in inhabited towns, particularly in rural zones.

5. Conclusions

This study provides the first QMRAs in soil for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in Mexico and, to the best of our knowledge, the first demonstration of microbial air quality monitoring in a rural zone. Soil and air are important vehicles of infection for these parasites. In Potam, Sonora, high (oo)cyst concentrations were detected with a yearly risk of 1.0 for each parasite. Effective feces and sewage disposal, as well as strategies to increase hygiene habits, are recommended to reduce the infection risk.

Acknowledgments

The present study was supported financially by the project entitled “Study of Emerging Pollutants and Ecotoxicology” of the Programa para el Mejoramiento del Profesorado de la Secretaría de Educación Pública (PROMEP-SEP).

Conflict of interest: All authors of this research work report no financial institution or personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately have influenced this work.

References

- Chañez C, Soto M, Gortáres P, Mena K. Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in irrigation water and its impact on the fresh produce industry. *Int J Environ Health Res* 2005;**15**:339–45.
- Carmena D, Aguinalgalde X, Zigorraga C, Fernández-Crespo JC, Ocio JA. Presence of *Giardia* cyst and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in drinking water supplies in northern Spain. *J Appl Microbiol* 2007;**102**:619–29.
- Zilberman A, Zimmels Y, Starosvetsky J, Zuckerman U, Armon R. A two-phase separation method for recovery of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts from soil samples. *Water Air Soil Poll* 2009;**203**:325–34.
- Rose JB, Haas CN, Regli S. Risk assessment and control of waterborne giardiasis. *Am J Public Health* 1991;**81**:709–13.
- Koken E, Darnault C, Jacobson A, Powelson D, Hendrickson W. Quantification of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in natural soil matrices and solutions using qPCR. *J Microbiol Methods* 2013;**92**:135–44.
- Calabrese EJ, Barnes R, Staneck III EJ, Pastides H, Gilbert CE, Veneman P, et al. How much soil do young children ingest: an epidemiologic study. *Regul Toxicol Pharm* 1989;**10**:123–37.
- Staneck III EJ, Calabrese E, Barnes R, Pekow P. Soil ingestion in adults—results of a second pilot study. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 1997;**36**:249–57.
- Doyle J, Blais J, Holmes R, White P. A soil ingestion pilot study of a population following a traditional lifestyle typical of rural or wilderness areas. *Sci Total Environ* 2012;**424**:110–20.
- Mena KD. Risk assessment of parasites in food. In: Ortega YR, editor. Foodborne parasites. GA, US: Editorial Board; 2006, p. 275–84.
- Haas CN, Rose JB, Gerba CP. Quantitative microbial risk assessment, 1st ed., New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1999.
- Instituto de Estadística Geografía e Informática (INEGI). Panorama sociodemográfico de Sonora. Censo de población y vivienda 2010. Mexico: INEGI; 2010.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). ICR microbial laboratory manual. ICR Protozoan method for detecting *Giardia* cyst and *Cryptosporidium* oocyst. Cincinnati, OH: USEPA, 1996.
- Ryu H, Abbaszadegan M. Long-term study of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* occurrence and quantitative microbial risk assessment in surface waters of Arizona in the USA. *J Water Health* 2008;**6**:263–73.
- Mota A, Mena KD, Soto-Beltran M, Tarwater P, Chañez C. Risk assessment of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in water irrigation fresh produce in Mexico. *J Food Protect* 2009;**72**:2184–8.

15. Soller JA, Bartrand T, Ashbolt NJ, Ravenscroft J, Wade TJ. Estimating the primary etiologic agents in recreational freshwaters impacted by human sources of faecal contamination. *Water Res* 2010;**44**:4736–47.
16. United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Exposure factors handbook. Cincinnati, OH: USEPA; 1997.
17. Calabrese EJ, Stanek ES. Distinguishing outdoor soil ingestion from indoor dust ingestion in a soil pica child. *Regul Toxicol Pharm* 2004;**15**:83–5.
18. Sonora Health Secretary. Database directly provided by the local medical clinic. Sonora, Mexico: Sonora Health Secretary; 2011.
19. Malhotra A. Low-tidal-volume ventilation in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2007;**357**:1113–20.
20. Barwick RS, Mohammed HO, White ME, Bryant RB. Prevalence of *Giardia spp.* and *Cryptosporidium spp.* on dairy farms in southeastern New York State. *Prev Vet Med* 2003;**59**:1–11.
21. Armon R, Gold D, Brodsky M, Oron G. Surface and subsurface irrigation with effluents of different qualities and presence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in soil and on crops. *Water Sci Tech* 2002;**46**:115–22.
22. Ferguson C, de Roda A, Altavilla N, Deere D, Ashbolt N. Fate and transport of surface water pathogens in watersheds. *Crit Rev Env Sci Tech* 2003;**33**:299–361.
23. Kuczynska E, Shelton DR. Method for detection and enumeration of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in feces, manures, and soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1999;**65**:2820–6.
24. Barbeau B, Payment P, Coallier J, Clement B, Prevost M. Evaluating the risk of infection from the presence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in drinking water. *Quant Microb* 2000;**2**:37–54.
25. Cummins E, Kennedy R, Cormican M. Quantitative risk assessment of *Cryptosporidium* in tap water in Ireland. *Sci Total Environ* 2010;**408**:740–53.
26. Donovan E, Unice K, Roberts JD, Harris M, Finley B. Risk of gastrointestinal disease associated with exposure to pathogens in the water of the Lower Passaic River. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;**74**:994–1003.
27. Ryu H, Abbaszadegan M. Long-term study of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* occurrence and quantitative microbial risk assessment in surface waters of Arizona in the US. *J Water Health* 2008;**62**:263–73.