Use of Electrochemical Noise for the Study of Corrosion by Passivated CUSTOM 450 and AM 350 Stainless Steels
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Abstract: Precipitation-hardening stainless steels, like AM 350 and Custom 450, are extensively utilized in various aerospace applications. The latter steel is utilized for applications needing great strength and corrosion resistance. In contrast, the former steel has a good corrosion resistance and moderate strength. The purpose of this study was to analyze transient frequencies in the electrochemical noise of Custom 450 and AM 350 stainless steels that had been passivated for 60 and 90 min at 25 and 49 °C using baths of citric and nitric acid and then immersed in solutions containing 1% sulfuric acid (H 2SO 4) and 5% sodium chloride (NaCl). The potentiodynamic polychromatic curves employed electrochemical techniques and noise (EN) based on the ASTM-G5 and G199 standards. Two methods of data analysis were applied concerning EN: the domain of frequencies (power spectral density, PSD) and the time–frequency domain (Hilbert-Huang Transform). The PHSS passivated in citric acid indicated current densities in the H 2SO 4 solution between 10^{-2} and 10^{-3} mA/cm², while those in the NaCl solution were recorded around 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} mA/cm². The citric acid functions as a passivating agent. The results of the electrochemical noise analysis show that the PHSS passivated in nitric acid displayed a greater corrosion resistance. Moreover, there is a tendency for PHSS to be passivated in nitric acid to corrode locally.
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1. Introduction

Stainless steels can improve their corrosion resistance if they undergo a chemical treatment called passivation. [1,2]. Stainless steels are alloys that contain at least 11% chromium and other alloying elements. These steels provide excellent corrosion resistance and are classified into five groups according to their microstructural phases [3–5]. In the aeronautical sector, stainless steels such as precipitation-hardened (PH) steels are used. These steels’ superior qualities—such as their strong corrosion resistance, low density, and mechanical resistance—make them suitable for usage in particular components [4]. Both martensitic and semi-
austenitic PHSSs (precipitation-hardened stainless steels) exist. Austenitic stainless steel after annealing is essentially the semi-austenitic kind. After this, a heat treatment is applied, causing the austenitic phase to change into the martensitic phase in preparation for the following hardening process [5]. Some of the most used PH steels in the aerospace sector are 17-7 PH, 17-4 PH, Custom 450 (Carpenter Technology Corporation, Philadelphia, PA, USA), and AM 350 (ATI Materials, Dallas, TX, USA), and are used to manufacture the turbine blades, rotors, and shafts. 15-5 PH steel (Penn Stainless Products, Inc., Bucks County, PA, USA) is used in structural components [6,7].

The thin, compact, invisible, and protective oxide film naturally forming on the stainless steel’s surface accounts for its high corrosion resistance. A chemical treatment called passivation allows for the growth of the oxidation film on the surface of the stainless steel, thus improving its corrosion resistance, given that the oxide film is continuous, compact, and adherent. Stainless steels must have a chromium amount greater than 11%, and the passivity will be greater at a higher concentration [8–13].

As a substitute, the citric acid (C6H8O7) passivation of aircraft stainless steel parts was first investigated in 2003. The Boeing Company began this task between 2008 and 2011. NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) and the US Department of Defense (DoD) (Washington, DC, USA) began to passivate stainless steels in citric acid to improve their corrosion resistance. Passivation commonly uses nitric acid (HNO3), a strong and toxic oxidant. Citric acid is an alternative for this type of treatment due to its low toxicity and being environmentally friendly. It is also used in low concentrations of around 10%, while nitric acid is usually used in concentrations of 40% [14,15].

Electrochemical techniques like potentiodynamic polarization (PP), electrochemical noise (EN), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) are used in corrosion research to assess passivated stainless steel. These techniques help us understand the corrosion mechanism and dynamics of the system under study.

Spontaneous changes in the potential and current that occur during a corrosion process are referred to as the electrochemical noise. Small changes in the electrical charges on an electrode are caused mainly by electrochemical cathodic and anodic reactions during the electrochemical corrosion process [16–19]. Electrical signals known as transients are connected to anodic and cathodic reactions in response to the creation and spread of pitting or the rupture and re-passivation of the passive film; the former process is stochastic, while the latter is deterministic [20,21]. Several techniques can be used to analyze EN data. The most popular ones are probably those that deal with the frequency domain (power spectral density, for instance), the time domain (statistical techniques like kurtosis, skewness, and localization index (LI), among others), and the time–frequency domain [22–25]. It has been discovered, in recent years, that a statistical analysis is limited in identifying the corrosion type present during the process. In the time–frequency domain, innovative techniques like Wavelet and Hilbert–Huang Transform (HHT) are employed to reduce the uncertainty of the statistical study’s findings. They examine chaotic signals of electrochemical noise to identify the corrosion mechanism [26–31].

El-Taib Heakal et al.’s 2004 study [32] on the electrochemical behaviors of molybdenum-containing stainless steels in the presence of aerated and reaerated solutions supported the hypothesis that the alloying elements were responsible for the pH drop. In 2007, the same authors [33] investigated the stability of the growth of passivation films in stainless steels, where alloying elements such as molybdenum provide that stability. Using electrochemical techniques like electrochemical noise and potentiodynamic polarization curves, other studies in the literature have made it possible to evaluate stainless steels like the real SS304 and the precipitation-hardened 15-5 PH and 17-4 PH in order to determine the corrosion kinetics of the passive film [22,34]. When steel is passivated, its pitting potential in nitric acid rises [23]. According to Marcelin et al.’s [24] investigation, the passive film characteristics governed the electrochemical process in the corrosion of martensitic stainless steel. Hydrogen diffusion, microstructural characterization, and mechanical
behavior have been the main topics of recent studies on PHSSs [25,35]. Using electrochemical techniques, including potentiostatic polarization, electrochemical noise, potentiodynamic polarization, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, Gaona, Samaniego, and Villegas [36–38] have investigated the corrosion behaviors of PHSSs in acid baths. Recent studies on corrosion in stainless steels have concentrated on real steel. Little research has been conducted on PHSSs. Thus, it is critical to understand electrochemical corrosion in the electrolytes that are often used in airplanes, such as in industrial, urban, and marine environments [39,40].

The present work aims to study the frequency analysis of transients using electrochemical noise measurements of Custom 450 and AM 350 stainless steels that have been passivated in citric (C₆H₈O₇) and nitric (HNO₃) acid baths for 60 and 90 min at 25 and 49 °C, respectively, and exposed to 1% sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and 5% sodium chloride (NaCl) solutions. Potentiodynamic polarization curves and electrochemical noise were the electrochemical methods employed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The stainless steels used were Custom 450 (AMS 5773) and AM 350 (AMS 5548) in the shape of cylindrical bars (AMS Aerospace Material Specifications). Table 1 lists the nominal chemical makeup of various PHSSs.

Table 1. The chemical composition of the used stainless steels (wt.%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Stainless Steel Alloys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Custom 450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>≤0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>14.0–16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5.0–7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.50–1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>≤0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1.25–1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.90–1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.5–0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The specimens were obtained from cylindrical bars with thicknesses of 0.5 cm, according to ASTMA380 [41]. Subsequently, all the specimens were prepared using the metallography technique ASTM E3 [42] and were roughed with silica carbide (SiC) sandpaper. The samples were then ultrasonically cleaned with ethyl alcohol to give them a clearer, impurity-free surface.

An optical metallurgical microscope (OM, Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) was used to determine the microstructures of the PHSSs.

2.2. Passivation Process

Based on the SAE/ASM2700 and ASTM G967 guidelines, the factors of the passivation process were controlled [43,44]. The passivation process consisted of the following steps, see Figure 1 [2,7,36–38]:

(a) Pretreatment stage: The stainless steel was degreased and pickled for five seconds at 25 °C in a 50-weight percent hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution (analytical grade reagents). The steel was then rinsed in distilled water.
(b) Passivation stage: a total of two passivation baths using solutions of distilled water (analytical grade reagents), citric acid (55% v), and nitric acid (20% v) were utilized.
(c) The two temperatures were 25 and 49 °C.
(d) The samples were immersed in the solutions for 60 and 90 min.
(e) Final stage: the samples were rinsed in distilled water.
(f) A total of 64 trials using the two PHSSs were conducted.

Figure 1. Diagram of the passivation process of PHSSs in acid baths.

2.3. Electrochemical Measurements

The corrosion kinetics of PHSSs were ascertained using the electrochemical methods of potentiodynamic polarization (PP) and electrochemical noise (EN). A three-electrode electrochemical cell configuration was used for the corrosion tests, which were carried out in duplicate at room temperature using a Potentiostat/Galvanostat/ZRA (produced by Solartron 1287A, Bognor Regis, UK). The tests were evaluated in solutions containing 1% and 5% sulfuric acid (H2SO4) and sodium chloride (NaCl).

As per the ASTM G5-11 standard [45–47], potentiodynamic polarization (PP) tests were performed over −1.0 and 1.2 V of OCP, with a scan rate of 0.06 V/min applied. The electrochemical cell employed was one of the three electrodes: the working electrode (material to analyze), a saturate calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode, and a platinum wire as the counter electrode.

The EN measurements complied with the ASTM G199-09 standard [48]; 1024 data points were scanned at one data point per second for each set of EN measurements. Before a fast Fourier transform (FTT) was applied to convert the power spectral density (PSD) data to the frequency domain, a Hann window was utilized. The EN signal’s intrinsic functions (IMF) were derived using the Hilbert–Huang Transform (HHT) EN analysis. Then, using the empirical decomposition method (EMD), the instantaneous frequencies were shown using the Hilbert spectrum. A program created in Math Works’ MATLAB 2018a software (Natick, MA, USA) was used to process the EN data [48–50].

3. Results
3.1. Microstructures of PHSSs

The microstructures of the PHSSs, as determined using optical microscopy, are shown in Figure 2. For the steel Custom 450, this alloy showed a martensitic (α’) phase. In contrast, the AM 350 semi-austenitic SS showed austenite (γ) and delta (δ) ferrite phases in its microstructure, respectively.
3.2. Potentiodynamic Polarization

The corrosion kinetics behaviors of the passivated steels were obtained through the potentiodynamic polarization curves, where electrochemical parameters such as the corrosion current density, $i_{corr}$ ($\mu$A/cm$^2$); corrosion potential, $E_{corr}$ (mV); corrosion rate (mm/yr); anodic Tafel slope ($\beta_a$); and cathodic Tafel slope ($\beta_c$) were obtained using the Tafel extrapolation method [50–52]. The potentiodynamic polarization curves refer to the relationship curve between the electrode potential and polarization current density and include the anode and cathode polarization curves. The linear area of the two polarization curves is extended to a point where the intersection is the corresponding current density ($i_{corr}$). The PPC for the PHSSs passivated in acid baths at 25 and 49 °C for 60 and 90 min while submerged in NaCl and H$_2$SO$_4$ solutions are displayed in Figures 3 and 4.
Figure 4. Potentiodynamic polarization curves for AM 350 samples passivated in citric and nitric acids at 25 and 49 °C for 60 and 90 min: (a,c) NaCl and (b,d) H_2SO_4 solutions.

The PPCs, which show a mixed control by activation in the anodic reactions as the formation of oxides that lead to passivation, typically illustrates the corrosion potential vs. the current’s logarithm. Tables 2 and 3 provide an overview of the electrochemical characteristics derived from potentiodynamic polarization (PP) curves.

The PPCs for passivated Custom 450 samples are shown in Figure 3a–d, where the samples are exposed to solutions containing sulfuric acid, sodium chloride, and citric and nitric acids at 25 and 49 °C for 60 and 90 min. Most of the time, the corrosion potential (E_{corr}) was close to −270 mV vs. the SCE. Nevertheless, at 25 °C, the corrosion potential in NaCl was −619 mV vs. the SCE. Every single passivated PHSS sample reacted with a stable passivation range in both solutions when subjected to the sulfuric acid solution at 25 and 49 °C temperatures. In the PHSS Custom 450, the materials subjected to sodium chloride exhibited pseudo-passivation followed by transpassivation. At the same time, all samples demonstrated secondary passivation when sulfuric acid was added. The electrochemical data are reported in Table 2. The corrosion current densities (i_{corr}) of the PHSS samples, when passivated in citric acid and exposed to sulfuric acid, are 10^{-3} mA/cm², whereas in NaCl, they are significantly lower, at 10^{-4} mA/cm² [36,53].
Table 2. Obtained electrochemical parameters for Custom 450 samples passivated in citric and nitric acids for 60 and 90 min with exposure to H₂SO₄ and NaCl solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid Bath</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Epit (mV)</th>
<th>Ecorr (mV vs. SCE)</th>
<th>icorr (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>βa (mV/decade)</th>
<th>βc (mV/decade)</th>
<th>ipass (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>Passivation Range (mV)</th>
<th>Corrosion Rate (mm/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−289</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>9.79 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>146.38</td>
<td>76.38</td>
<td>8.9 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>1.10 × 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−294</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>7.37 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>169.89</td>
<td>144.78</td>
<td>1.09 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>8.28 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−276</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>8.29 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>181.4</td>
<td>73.34</td>
<td>6.34 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>9.3 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−253</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>3.46 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>73.42</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>1.03 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>3.88 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−254</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>1.12 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>160.56</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>8.61 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>1.42 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−246</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>4.80 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>86.23</td>
<td>123.10</td>
<td>7.58 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>5.30 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−250</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>5.73 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>208.16</td>
<td>71.51</td>
<td>6.69 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>6.43 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−317</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>6.17 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>96.99</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>1.15 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>8.39 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* pseudo-passivation.

Table 3. Obtained electrochemical parameters for AM 350 samples passivated in citric and nitric acids for 60 and 90 min with exposure to H₂SO₄ and NaCl solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid Bath</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Epit (mV)</th>
<th>Ecorr (mV vs. SCE)</th>
<th>icorr (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>βa (mV/decade)</th>
<th>βc (mV/decade)</th>
<th>ipass (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>Passivation Range (mV)</th>
<th>Corrosion Rate (mm/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−389</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>5.16 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>106.85</td>
<td>87.75</td>
<td>6.69 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−367</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>3.37 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>61.15</td>
<td>53.17</td>
<td>5.32 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>3.10 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−242</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>1.03 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>118.43</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>3.09 × 10⁻⁴⁷</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>5.36 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−308</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>1.39 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>64.07</td>
<td>69.22</td>
<td>8.62 × 10⁻⁴⁷</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>1.74 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−430</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>1.14 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>62.09</td>
<td>105.56</td>
<td>5.32 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>3.10 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−250</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>4.46 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>58.05</td>
<td>6.6 × 10⁻⁴⁷</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>8.34 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−249</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>1.56 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>66.79</td>
<td>61.74</td>
<td>4.41 × 10⁻⁴⁷</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>8.34 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* pseudo-passivation.

The PPCs for PHSSs that were obtained from nitric acid baths at 25 and 49 °C for 60 and 90 min while the passivated PHSSs were exposed to H₂SO₄ and NaCl solutions are shown in Figure 4a–d. The PPCs for passivated AM 350 samples in citric and nitric acids at 25 and 49 °C for 60 and 90 min with exposure to sulfuric acid and sodium chloride solutions are shown in Figure 4a–d. The 558–1049 mV region was where the anodic and cathodic branches showed activation. The corrosion potential (Ecorr) was mostly approximately -300 mV. When sulfuric acid solutions were supplied, all passivated PHSS samples produced a steady passivation range at 25 and 49 °C. The passivated AM 350 samples...
were displayed after pseudo-passivation in a sodium chloride solution and transpassivation for 60 and 90 min at 25 and 49 °C, respectively. Table 3 lists the electrochemical parameters for the PHSS samples that were passivated in citric acid and exposed to sulfuric acid. Their corrosion current densities $i_{corr}$ range from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-4}$ mA/cm$^2$, while in NaCl, they have significantly lower current densities of $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-5}$ mA/cm$^2$.

The citric and nitric acid-passivated Custom 450 and AM 350, where the steels are exposed to sulfuric acid and sodium chloride solutions, form more stable layers when followed by transpassivation and secondary passivation. The electrochemical parameters obtained from these measurements are illustrated in Tables 2 and 3.

3.3. Electrochemical Noise

Since there is a correlation between the EN signal (with the polynomial filter applied), the PSD analysis requires a transformation of the time-domain EN to the frequency domain using FFT. Equations (1) and (2) are then calculated once the spectral density is calculated [54–56], where $R_{xx}(m)$ is related to a signal correlation.

$$R_{xx}(m) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} x(n) \cdot x(n+m), \text{when values are from } 0 < m < N$$ (1)

$$\Psi_x(k) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \bar{x}) \cdot e^{-2\pi f_n^2}$$ (2)

The PSD is interpreted using the limit frequency to cut the frequency as a basis. The cut frequency indicates a slope’s start and end, which helps determine the corrosion mechanism. Information regarding the sample representation following pitting is provided by the cut frequency. The slope is expressed for Equation (3) and is defined by $\beta_x$ [57].

$$\log\Psi_x = -\beta_x \log f$$ (3)

The frequency zero limit ($\Psi_0$) provides information on the material disintegration, as the PSD is connected to the entire amount of energy in the system. It is crucial to make clear that the current PSD is the only one with material dissolution. The following Table 4, adjusted to decibels, was suggested by Mansfeld et al. [58–61] in 1998 to determine the corrosion phenomena on the material surface.

Figure 5 shows the PSD graphics of the Custom 450 alloy when it was passivated at 25 and 49 °C in different environments. Figure 5a shows the results of Custom 450 passivated at 25 °C exposed to NaCl. The passivation in citric acid after 90 min presented a higher $\Psi_0$ ($-65$ dBi), indicating a higher corrosion kinetic. On the other hand, in Figure 5b, the nitric acid passivation of 90 min presented the lower corrosion kinetic ($-110$ dBi). For that reason, passivation can be considered one of the most resistant mechanisms. The sample was anodized in nitric acid when the passivated alloys were exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$ at 25 °C. At the same time, a 60 min exposure resulted in a lower energy demand ($-130$ dBi). The other samples presented similar values of $\Psi_0$, meaning the corrosion kinetics are high in this environment. Only the samples citric/90min and nitric/60min presented uniform corrosion (see Table 5) when exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$.

Table 4. Electrochemical parameters ($\beta$ intervals to indicate the type of corrosion).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta$ Intervals</th>
<th>Uniform</th>
<th>Pitting</th>
<th>Passive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dB(V)-Decade$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dB(A)-Decade$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. The parameters were obtained using PSD and $Z_n$ for PHSSs passivated and exposed to the NaCl solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>PHSS</th>
<th>Acid Bath</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (Minutes)</th>
<th>Slope ($\beta$)</th>
<th>$\Psi_0$ (dBi)</th>
<th>$Z_n$ (Ω·cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>−91</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−65</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−82</td>
<td>5532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−67</td>
<td>2537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>2865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−110</td>
<td>126,654</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−56</td>
<td>16,487</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−66</td>
<td>14,971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM 350</td>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−61</td>
<td>6619</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−58</td>
<td>11,814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−82</td>
<td>21,871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−67</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>20,786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>−97</td>
<td>41,784</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−68</td>
<td>15,002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−66</td>
<td>−66</td>
<td>5768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. PSDs in currents for Custom 450 passivated at 25 °C exposed to (a) NaCl and (b) H₂SO₄ solutions and Custom 450 passivated at 49 °C exposed to (c) NaCl and (d) H₂SO₄ solutions.

Figure 5c,d show the passivated behavior of Custom 450 at 49 °C. When exposed to NaCl, the sample nitric/60min showed higher corrosion kinetics (56 dBi). Meanwhile, the
citric/60min sample presented a lower value of $-82$ dBi. When the samples were exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$, only the citric/90min sample presented a higher $\Psi^0$ ($-100$); the rest presented similarly in the corrosion kinetics. All the passivated samples exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$ presented slope values corresponding to uniform corrosion (see Table 6).

Table 6. Parameters obtained using PSD and $Z_n$ for PHSSs passivated and exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$ solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>PHSS</th>
<th>Acid Bath</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (minutes)</th>
<th>Slope ($\beta$)</th>
<th>$\Psi^0$ (dBi)</th>
<th>$Z_n$ ($\Omega \cdot cm^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-9$</td>
<td>$-99$</td>
<td>50,805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-4$</td>
<td>$-98$</td>
<td>23,982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-119$</td>
<td>59,557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-5$</td>
<td>$-100$</td>
<td>15,931</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$-16$</td>
<td>$-119$</td>
<td>29,020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-4$</td>
<td>$-101$</td>
<td>57,061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM 350</td>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-6$</td>
<td>$-114$</td>
<td>57,68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-4$</td>
<td>$-101$</td>
<td>57,49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-5$</td>
<td>$-88$</td>
<td>11,824</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-11$</td>
<td>$-106$</td>
<td>106,720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitric</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$-13$</td>
<td>$-104$</td>
<td>81,021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-12$</td>
<td>$-112$</td>
<td>243,070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-124$</td>
<td>$-110,839$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 shows the passivated samples of the alloy AM 350. Figure 6a shows the behaviors of the passivated samples when exposed to NaCl. The sample nitric/90min presented the lower $\Psi^0$ value ($-97$ dBi), indicating lower corrosion kinetics than the samples passivated in citric acid that presented higher values. This behavior was also presented when the samples were exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$; the samples passivated in nitric acid obtained a better performance in the corrosion kinetics.

A similar behavior was observed when samples were passivated at 49 °C. In both media, the nitric acid-passivated material performed better. Furthermore, when exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$, only the sample that had been passivated in nitric acid for 90 min at 49 °C showed a slope value of passivation (see Table 6).

Recognizing that some values for the two types of corrosion are similar could lead to the development of an additional method for analyzing the slopes alongside the frequencies.

The following formula represents the noise impedance ($Z_n$), also known as spectral noise resistance.

$$Z_n = \sqrt{\frac{\Psi_v(f)}{\Psi_w(f)}}$$ (4)

Figure 7 shows the noise impedance ($Z_n$) of the Custom 450 alloy with the different passivated samples. Figure 7a shows the results when exposed to NaCl. The nitric/90min sample presented the highest $Z_n$ value, 126,654 $\Omega \cdot cm^2$, indicating a higher corrosion resistance. The samples anodized in citric acid presented higher $Z_n$ values (see Table 6), indicating a better performance against corrosion than the samples passivated in citric acid. When the samples were exposed to H$_2$SO$_4$, the behavior was very similar; the samples passivated in citric acid presented lower corrosion resistance values than those passivated in citric acid.
Figure 6. PSDs in currents for AM 350 passivated at 25 °C exposed to (a) NaCl and (b) H₂SO₄ solutions and AM 350 passivated at 49 °C minutes exposed to (c) NaCl and (d) H₂SO₄ solutions.

Figure 7. Zₑ for Custom 450 passivated at 25 °C exposed to (a) NaCl and (b) H₂SO₄ solutions and Custom 450 passivated at 49 °C minutes exposed to (c) NaCl and (d) H₂SO₄ solutions.
Figure 7c shows the behaviors of passivated samples at 49 °C. The samples passivated in nitric acid presented higher Zn0 values. This behavior was presented in Figure 7d when the samples were exposed to H2SO4, meaning there was more corrosion protection when the samples were anodized with nitric acid.

Figure 8 shows the Zn plots of the passivated AM 350 alloy. Figure 8a shows the behavior when exposed to NaCl; the samples passivated in nitric acid presented the highest resistance, 20,786 and 41,784 Ω·cm², for 60 and 90 min, respectively. When the samples were exposed to H2SO4, the behavior was similar, indicating that at 25 °C, the samples passivated in nitric acid had a better performance against corrosion.

When samples were passivated at 49 °C, the citric/90min sample showed a lower performance against corrosion, with a Zn0 value of 5768 Ω·cm². The other samples presented similar behaviors with high Zn0 values (see Table 5). The higher corrosion resistance values of nitric acid-passivated samples are shown in Figure 8d, meaning that the nitric acid passivation produces better results against corrosion.

Figure 8. Zn for AM 350 passivated at 25 °C exposed to (a) NaCl and (b) H2SO4 solutions and AM 350 passivated at 49 °C minutes exposed to (c) NaCl and (d) H2SO4 solutions.

The HHT is an additional sophisticated technique for identifying the kind and process of corrosion; it assists in eliminating the DC from the original signal [62]. Moreover, this method may also pinpoint the frequency and time at which the energy interchange happens by utilizing an HHT method proposed by Huang et al. [63] in 1998 to study non-stationary signals. The empirical method of decomposition (EMD) is used to calculate this energy and obtain intrinsic functions (IMF), and is referred to as instantaneous energy.
The gathered energy can be localized by creating a spectrum with the time–frequency–energy distribution [48]. The proposed EMD by Huang is displayed in Equation (5).

\[
x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h^{(i)}(t) + d(t)
\]

(5)

The (t) is the average of the time series x(t) trend at a low frequency; it is not decomposable; \( h^{(i)}(t) \) is the i-th term of the IMF that is generated; these numbers must meet the requirements that the cross and extreme numbers be equal or different at the maximum and that each point using the local minimum and maximum be zero [63–65]. Equation (6) of HHT is controlled by

\[
y_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h_{j}(\tau)}{t-\tau} \, d\tau
\]

(6)

The Hilbert transform is denoted by \( y_{j}(t) \), and the IMF is represented by \( h_{j} \); \( p \) is associated with the Cauchy principle and the average of the IMF [63–68].

Figure 9 shows the Hilbert spectra of the Custom 450 alloys passivated at 25 °C. For this figure, only the passivation in nitric acid for 90 min showed a high tendency to present localized corrosion in both media. This occurs because Figure 9g,h shows how the sample presented a high energy accumulation at the middle and high frequencies, indicating that fast corrosion processes occur on the surface. When the alloy was passivated in nitric acid for 60 min and exposed to NaCl (Figure 9e), it presented a high energy accumulation at low frequencies, indicating a uniform process. However, when exposed to H₂SO₄, the sample presented a localized process. This behavior of the localized process for passivated samples exposed to H₂SO₄ is the same for those passivated in citric acid. The passivation in citric acid presented an energy accumulation in the middle and low frequencies, and this happens when the samples presented the diffusion of the localized process, usually related to pitting (see Figure 9a,d).

Figure 10 shows the passivation at 49 °C of the alloy Custom 450. For this temperature, the passivation in citric acid presented a high energy accumulation in the middle and high energy levels in both media, even for 60 and 90 min of passivation. That means the citric acid at this temperature is more susceptible to localized attacks. On the other hand, the samples passivated in nitric acid exposed to NaCl (Figure 10e,g) show a high energy accumulation at low frequencies, indicating a uniform corrosion process on the surface. Additionally, when these samples are exposed to H₂SO₄, they present a high energy accumulation at the high and middle energies, meaning that the samples are susceptible to localized corrosion in this media.

Figure 11 shows the Hilbert spectra of the AM 350 alloys passivated at 25 °C. For this case, all the passivated samples presented an energy accumulation in the middle and high frequencies, meaning that the passivated samples are susceptible to localized corrosion attacks. Only the sample passivated in citric acid for 90 min, Figure 11c, presented some energy accumulation at low frequencies. However, the energy at the middle frequencies indicates a possible pitting diffusion.

Finally, Figure 12 shows the Hilbert spectra of AM 350 alloys passivated at 49 °C. The behavior of this passivation is very similar to the behavior shown in Figure 11. However, Figure 11a–d show the results for passivation in citric acid, and those samples presented a greater energy accumulation at high frequencies. For that reason, the passivated citric acid has a higher trend of presenting localized corrosion.
Figure 9. Hilbert spectra for Custom 450 samples passivated at 25 °C in citric acid (a–d) and nitric acid (e–h) and exposed to NaCl (a,c,e,g) and H₂SO₄ (b,d,f,h). (a,b,e,f) were passivated for 60 min; (c,d,g,h) were passivated for 90 min.
Figure 10. Hilbert spectra for Custom 450 samples passivated at 49 °C in citric acid (a–d) and nitric acid (e–h) and exposed to NaCl (a,c,e,g) and H₂SO₄ (b,d,f,h). (a,b,e,f) were passivated for 60 min; (c,d,g,h) were passivated for 90 min.
Figure 11. Hilbert spectra for AM 350 samples passivated at 25 °C in citric acid (a–d) and nitric acid (e–h) and exposed to NaCl (a,c,e,g) and H2SO4 (b,d,f,h). (a,b,e,f) were passivated for 60 min; (c,d,g,h) were passivated for 90 min.
Figure 12. Hilber spectra for AM 350 samples passivated at 49 °C in citric acid (a–d) and nitric acid (e–h) and exposed to NaCl (a,c,e,g) and H₂SO₄ (b,d,f,h). (a,b,e,f) were passivated 60 min; (c,d,g,h) were passivated 90 min.

4. Discussion

The PHSSs’ potentiodynamic polarization curves (Figures 3 and 4) have distinct forms, indicating that there is passivation in the anodic reaction, but there is a difference
in their pitting potentials due to the various electrochemical processes in the test solutions of NaCl and H₂SO₄. However, the potentiodynamic polarization curves exhibit two distinctive causes. When the PHSS is immersed in the H₂SO₄ solution, a passivation layer forms on the surface of the Cr–Fe alloy, determining its corrosion resistance and triggering this passivation protection mechanism. Chromium oxides play a key role in passive films, and the OH anodic interactions cause this behavior. The PHSS sample’s elevated current density is the cause of transpassivation and secondary passivation. A stable passivation film is represented by a pseudo-passivation when the samples are submerged in a NaCl solution. This defense mechanism creates a passive layer of Cr-rich oxides and oxyhydroxides that stops oxygen from penetrating the interior layer and shields the base material from corrosive ions, like the Cl⁻ to which the samples were exposed [69–76].

According to Gaona et al. [37], when samples are submerged in a NaCl solution, an unstable passivation film causes an increase in the current density, affecting the corrosion kinetics of stainless steel. On the other hand, austenitic stainless steels submerged in a H₂SO₄ solution displayed transients linked to secondary passivation—the regeneration of the passive layer—and transpassivation, or the rupture of the passivation film.

The results obtained using the EN (electrochemical noise) technique showed that the alloys passivated in citric acid have a high susceptibility to pitting attacks and present less corrosion resistance. The PHSS Custom 450 passivated in nitric acid at 25 °C for 60 min presented the lowest Ψ₀ (~130 dBi), indicating that the energy transference and kinetics will be slower than in the other alloys. This occurs when exposed to H₂SO₄. Also, that sample presented a higher noise impedance of 1410107 Ω·cm². The best performance against corrosion in NaCl was of Custom 450 passivated in nitric acid at 25 °C for 90 min, with 126,654 Ω·cm². These results indicate that the PHSS with a martensitic phase has a better predisposition to generate a stable oxide layer. Also, the development of that oxide layer is better when the passivation temperature is 25 °C in nitric acid. However, all these samples present localized corrosion.

Also, the corrosion rate analysis showed that the passivated Custom 450 presented lower corrosion rates with values of ×10⁻⁴ mm/yr order when exposed to NaCl, meaning that the passivated samples exposed to H₂SO₄ presented higher corrosion rates with value orders of ×10⁻². However, the best performance occurs with the samples passivated in nitric acid.

PHSSs passivated in both acids demonstrated a trend of pitting corrosion. However, the passivation in nitric acid presented a greater energy accumulation at the middle and high frequencies, indicating localized corrosion. In the research of Lara et al., Samaniego et al., and Gaydos et al. [7,14,15,77,78], it was concluded that nitric acid raises the passive layer’s chromium content, clearing MnS inclusions from the surface and raising the likelihood of individual pitting. Because of the presence of MnS, the samples passivated in nitric acid in this study showed higher corrosion than those passivated in citric acid. Alterations in the acid content or alternative solutions like citric acid, where the pitting process was more regulated, should be employed to decrease the amount of MnS. In Equations (7) and (8), we show that the processes above can remove MnS, and the acid content may impact the passivation stability.

\[ \text{MnS} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \]  

(7)

\[ 2\text{MnS} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} + 6\text{H}^+ + 8\text{e}^- \]  

(8)

It is important to mention that the higher passivation range values are from the samples passivated in nitric acid, even for Custom 450 and AM 350. However, the AM 350 alloy presented a higher passivation range when exposed to NaCl. Meanwhile, the Custom 450 alloy presented a higher passivation range in H₂SO₄. The lower values in the passivation range of citric acid indicate that the passive layer generated by this electrolyte is weak compared to the one generated by nitric acid. This behavior was also shown when
the samples were evaluated using EN, where a better performance against corrosion was obtained with nitric acid passivation, indicating a more effective passive layer.

Some publications [79–81] claim that the data showed a somewhat consistent range in the passive potentials of PHSSs during passivation. It generates a relatively stable passive film, since the passive current density is the same. Nevertheless, the electrochemical process of the anodic reaction is still underway. The passive film is unstable in that instance, and the passive current density differs. The HHT analysis reveals this unstable passive layer, with the samples exhibiting localized corrosion preponderance. This outcome confirms the findings of Samaniego et al. [15], who reported localized corrosion in the samples passivated in nitric acid. In contrast to the nitric acid-passivated PHSS, the citric acid-passivated PHSS showed a greater tendency toward localized corrosion.

Some writers say stainless steels exhibit passive films before and after transformation [82–86]. With noble electrode potentials, transpassivation is a dissolving mechanism in which the steel is activated and starts to dissolve [21,87], and the anodic branch experiences passivation (a feature of stainless steel that has been passivated). Most research has concentrated on this area, ignoring that, as this study found, some acid solutions can form a second layer for passivation.

Iron oxide and chromium oxide coatings, frequently found in PHSSs, are formed in the passive zone [32,88,89]. Consequently, the chromium trihydroxide compound Cr(OH)₃ is developed due to the selective dissolution of Cr⁶⁺ on the surfaces of stainless steels (see Equation (9)). When Cr(OH)₃ is present on the surface, the hydroxides react to generate a continuous passive layer of chromium oxide Cr₂O₃ (see Equation (10)) [16,90,91].

\[
\text{Cr}^{3+} + 3\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Cr(OH)}_3 + 3e^- \tag{9}
\]

\[
\text{Cr(OH)}_3 + \text{Cr} + 3\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3e^- \tag{10}
\]

As previously indicated, iron and chromium oxidation are the primary sources of anodic reactions during the passivation film growth period. Equations (11)–(13) [92–94] show the iron oxidation reactions:

\[
3\text{Fe} + 8\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 8e^- \tag{11}
\]

\[
2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 20\text{H}^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 6\text{FeOOH} + 2e^- \tag{12}
\]

\[
2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 20\text{H}^- \rightarrow 3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \tag{13}
\]

In the passivated PHSS samples submerged in a 5% NaCl solution, pseudo-passivation occurred. The passive films generated on the PHSS may be in an incomplete steady state, because the current density increases with increases in the anodic potential rather than reaching the stable state within the passive zone. This instability is brought on by chloride ions (Cl⁻), which have a strong affinity for steel surfaces and can diffuse into the steel through holes in the passive surface film. This reduces the efficiency of the PHSS’s passive film [7,16,95,96]. The creation of the Cr(OH)₃ film may be linked to the pseudo-passivation phenomenon seen in the polarization curves of several passivated samples. The separation of the Cr(OH)₃ film occurs simultaneously with the rupture of the pseudo-passivated region. Because of this, the Cr(OH)₃ film performs the role of a pseudo-passive film [97–99]. According to certain studies, Cr(OH)₃ may inhibit the iron’s dissolution process, isolate the corrosive media, and decrease the number of active sites in the iron dissolution process [100–103]. According to the literature [14,16,104–109], citric acid can be an ecological alternative to replace nitric acid, since citric acid passivation indicates similar results to the nitric acid solution. In future works, it is necessary to improve an increase the passivation time of citric acid electrolytes due to the properties of this electrolyte. Citric acid is weaker than nitric acid.
The protection mechanism (see Figure 13a) occurs due to the formation of a passive layer formed by oxides and oxy/hydroxides rich in Cr that prevent the propagation of oxygen to the internal layer and protect the base material from the penetration of corrosive ions, such as the Cl\(^-\) in which the samples were exposed. In the case of Figure 13b, the protection mechanism is different since passivation occurs. The passivation film formed on the surface of the Cr–Fe alloy determines its corrosion resistance. Chromium oxides are essential in passive films [110–112].

![Figure 13. Model diagrams of passivation processes in citric and nitric acid baths for CUSTOM 450 and AM 350 stainless steels exposed to (a) 5 wt.% NaCl solution and (b) 1 wt.% H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution.](image)

5. Conclusions
The conclusions are as follows:

- The AM 350 stainless steel had austenite (γ) and delta (δ) ferrite phases, while the Custom 450 stainless steel displayed a martensitic (α') phase, respectively.
- The results indicate that the corrosion current densities of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) were measured to be between 10\(^{-2}\) and 10\(^{-3}\) mA/cm\(^2\), whereas the Custom and AM 350 steels passivated in solutions of nitric and citric acid presented corrosion current densities of 10\(^{-4}\) mA/cm\(^2\).
- The PHSS samples passivated in nitric acid and immersed in sodium chloride have higher pitting potentials than the samples passivated in citric acid.
- Using the bath of citric acid in the place of nitric acid during the passivation process creates a system in which the electrochemical behavior is similar, mixed by activation, and where the anodic branch presents a series of events such as pseudo-passivation and/or passivation–transpassivation–secondary passivation.
- The EN results show that the PHSSs passivated in nitric acid obtained higher values of noise impedance, indicating a higher corrosion resistance than the samples passivated in citric acid.
- The PHSSs passivated in nitric acid presented a higher corrosion resistance, indicating that it is still the better option to passivate PHSS. This can be observed in the corrosion resistance by the corrosion rates of PPCs and the noise impedance results.
- The HHT analysis shows that the nitric acid-passivated PHSS presented a high energy accumulation at the middle and high frequencies, indicating susceptibility to a localized attack.
- The Z\(_e\) analyses show that Custom 450 passivated in nitric acid had more impedance noise in H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (1,410,107 Ω·cm\(^2\)) when it was passivated at 25 °C for 60 min. Also, the
same PHSS passivated in the same electrolyte for 90 min presented the highest noise impedance, 126,654 $\Omega\cdot cm^2$, when exposed to NaCl.
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