

# Journal of Applied Animal Research



ISSN: 0971-2119 (Print) 0974-1844 (Online) Journal homepage: www.tandfonline.com/journals/taar20

# In situ Digestibility of Neutral Detergent Fiber of Introduced Cenchrus ciliaris and Six Native Mexican Grasses Consumed by Small Ruminants

#### R. G. Ramirez

**To cite this article:** R. G. Ramirez (2007) *In situ* Digestibility of Neutral Detergent Fiber of Introduced *Cenchrus ciliaris* and Six Native Mexican Grasses Consumed by Small Ruminants, Journal of Applied Animal Research, 31:1, 53-57, DOI: 10.1080/09712119.2007.9706629

To link to this article: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2007.9706629">https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2007.9706629</a>





# In situ Digestibility of Neutral Detergent Fiber of Introduced Cenchrus ciliaris and Six Native Mexican Grasses Consumed by Small Ruminants

#### R.G. Ramirez

Departmento de Alimentos, Facultad de Ciencias Biologicas Universidad Autonoma de Nuevo Leon Pedro de Alba y Manuel Marragan S/N Cd. Universitaria, San Nicolas de los Garza, N.L., 66450, Mexico

(Received April 13, 2006; accepted January 4, 2007)

#### Abstract

Ramirez, R.G. 2007. In situ digestibility of neutral detergent fiber of introduced Cenchrus ciliaris and six native Mexican grasses consumed by small ruminants. J. Appl. Anim. Res., 31: 53-57.

Native grasses from northeastern Mexico such as Aristida longiseta (Steud), Bouteloua gracilis (Thurb), Cenchrus incertus (M.A. Curtis), Hilaria berlangeri (Steud, Nash), Panicum hallii (Varsey) and Setaria macrostachya (H.B.K.) and introduced Cenchrus ciliaris (L), a cultivated grass, that are consumed by range small ruminants were evaluated to estimate seasonally, their neutral detergent fiber (NDF) content and the rate and extent of NDF digestion. Panicum hallii was lower (72, 34, 26%, respectively) in NDF, cellulose and hemicellulose content and A. longiseta was higher (87, 37, 37%). Cenchrus incertus (42%, annual mean) was higher in EDNDF and A. longiseta (25%) was lower. With exception of C. incertus, all native grasses had lower EDNDF than C. ciliaris (40%). Because of their low NDF digestion most native grasses could be considered poor food resources for range small ruminants.

Keywords: Grasses: Aristida longiseta, Bouteloua gracilis, Cenchrus ciliaris, Cenchrus incertus, Hilaria berlangeri, Panicum hallii, Setaria macrostachya, effective degradability, neutral detergent fiber.

#### Introduction

Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) contains cellulose, hemicellulose, silica, some protein (heat damaged) and lignin (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991). The NDF have low digestibility and are entirely dependent on the microorganisms of the digestive tract and is closely related to feed intake (Jung and Allen, 1995).

Research has demonstrated that ruminants will eat more dry matter (DM)

when fed forages that have higher NDF digestibility (Van Soest et al., 1991). Moreover, the major factor lowering digestibility of forages as they mature is the higher fiber and lower cell-soluble concentrations of mature grasses (Reid et al., 1988). Grass leaves develop a lignified midrib to provide mechanical support, which contributes to the high fiber concentration of grass leaf blades. However, provision of adequate fiber is important for ruminants (Mertens and Sauvant, 1995).

The *in situ* technique is a very good method to estimate NDF digestibility of

Tel: 81 80232003; Fax: 81 83526760; E-mail: roqramir@fcb.uanl.mx

54 R.G. Ramirez

forages and is often used in research and other forage evaluation programs (Ramirez, 1999). The objectives of this study were to estimate and compare the degree and extent of NDF digestion of seven grasses growing in northeastern Mexico.

#### Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in a shrubland of approximately 20 ha at the Agricultural Experimental Station of Facultad de Agronomía Autónoma de Nuevo León at Marín, County, N.L., Mexico. Marín is located at 25°43' north latitude and 100°02' west longitude. The average elevation is 393 m. The climate of the region is considered semiarid with an annual mean temperature of 22C and about 500 mm of rainfall. Rainfall is bimodal, with peaks occurring in spring and fall. During the study, in winter precipitated 61.6 mm, in spring 140.2 mm, in summer 73.0 mm and in autumn 144.1 mm. Most soils of the region are rocky type of Upper Cretaceous calcite and dolomite. Dominants are deep, dark gray, lime-clay vertisols which are the result of alluvial and colluvial processes. They are characterized by high clay and calcium carbonate contents (pH: 7.5 - 8.785) and low organic matter content (Foroughbakhch, 1992).

Grasses such as Cenchrus ciliaris (L), Aristida spp., Bouteloua gracilis (Thurb), Cenchrus incertus (M.A. Curtis), Hilaria berlangeri (Steud. Nash), Panicum hallii (Varsey) and Setaria macrostachya (H.B.K.) that are consumed by range Spanish goats (Ramirez et al., 1993), white tailed deer (Ramirez et al., 1997) and range sheep (Ramirez et al., 1995) were collected for nutritional studies. As encountered in the range, grasses were hand harvested until adequate amounts of material were obtained and compositing by species in each of the four seasons of the year (spring, winter, autumn and summer). Samples were stored in paper bags in the field and transported to laboratory. The sites of collection were ungrazed by livestock. Dry matter was determined subjecting samples to oven at 55C during 72 h, then were ground in a Wiley mill (1 mm) and stored in plastic containers for further analyses.

Samples were analyzed for organic matter, neutral detergent fiber (NDF), cellulose and hemicellulose (Van Soest et al., 1991). The rate and extent of NDF loss was estimated using the nylon bag technique. Nonlinear digestibility parameters and effective degradability of NDF (EDNDF) of grasses were described by Ramirez et al. (2003). Data were statistically analyzed using an experimental design of two ways of classification (being grasses and seasons the study factors), with interaction between seasons and grasses. Partial correlation coefficients were performed between chemical composition, seasonal rainfall and EDNDF (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

#### Results and Discussion

The organic matter (OM) content in all grasses was significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons. Aristida longiseta was higher and C. incertus was lower. Moreover, NDF content in all grasses was significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons. Aristida longiseta was higher and P. hallii was lower. With exception of P. hallii all grasses had higher NDF content (annual mean basis) than C. ciliaris (Table 1). It seems that NDF content, in all grasses, was influenced by the climatic conditions; in those seasons when rainfall was higher (spring and autumn), invariably NDF content was lower. Conversely, NDF content, in most grasses, increased during the dryer seasons (winter and summer). Cellulose and hemicellulose content (Table 1) followed the same pattern as NDF.

The portion of NDF solubilized at the beginning of incubation (a), the portion of NDF that is slowly degraded in the rumen (b) and the rate constant of disappearance of

Table 1
Seasonal trends and annual means of organic matter, neutral detergent fiber (NDF), cellulose, hemicellulose of cultivated grass *Cenchrus ciliaris* and six Mexican native grasses

| Grasses         | Organic matter <sup>1</sup> |                        |     |     |     |     |     |     | $\mathbf{NDF}^1$ |                            |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
|                 | Seasons <sup>2</sup>        |                        |     |     |     |     |     |     | CTD 4            |                            |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
|                 | w                           | sp                     | su  | f   | M   | SEM | Sig | w   | sp               | su                         | f   | M   | SEM | Sig |  |  |  |
| A. longiseta    | 92                          | 92                     | 93  | 93  | 92  | 0.5 | *   | 87  | 85               | 87                         | 88  | 97  | 0.3 | **  |  |  |  |
| B. gracilis     | 87                          | 89                     | 87  | 84  | 87  | 0.6 | **  | 90  | 81               | 82                         | 77  | 82  | 0.2 | *** |  |  |  |
| C. ciliaris     | 93                          | 86                     | 91  | 87  | 89  | 0.6 | *** | 78  | 74               | 76                         | 74  | 76  | 0.5 | **  |  |  |  |
| C. incertus     | 86                          | 84                     | 88  | 83  | 85  | 0.5 | **  | 80  | 74               | 80                         | 75  | 77  | 0.1 | *** |  |  |  |
| H. berlangeri   | 89                          | 86                     | 88  | 82  | 86  | 0.6 | *** | 83  | 75               | 82                         | 75  | 79  | 0.4 | *** |  |  |  |
| P. hallii       | 89                          | 83                     | 89  | 87  | 87  | 1.1 | *** | 76  | 69               | 73                         | 68  | 72  | 0.2 | *** |  |  |  |
| S. macrostachya | 90                          | 90                     | 90  | 82  | 90  | 0.4 | *   | 80  | 79               | 86                         | 74  | 80  | 0.2 | *** |  |  |  |
| Mean            | 89                          | 88                     | 87  | 89  | 88  | 0.8 | NS  | 77  | 79               | 80                         | 79  | 79  | 1.3 | NS  |  |  |  |
| SEM             | 0.5                         | 0.3                    | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 |     |     | 0.2 | 0.2              | 0.4                        | 0.3 | 1.8 |     |     |  |  |  |
| Sig             | ***                         | ***                    | *** | *** | *** |     |     | *** | ***              | ***                        | *** | *** |     |     |  |  |  |
|                 |                             | Cellulose <sup>1</sup> |     |     |     |     |     |     |                  | Hemicellulose <sup>1</sup> |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
| A. longiseta    | 37                          | 37                     | 37  | 37  | 37  | 0.2 | NS  | 37  | 33               | 37                         | 37  | 37  | 0.2 | *** |  |  |  |
| B. gracilis     | 33                          | 38                     | 32  | 33  | 34  | 0.3 | **  | 43  | 30               | 32                         | 29  | 34  | 0.2 | *** |  |  |  |
| $C.\ ciliaris$  | 36                          | 35                     | 35  | 34  | 35  | 0.2 | *** | 32  | 30               | 31                         | 33  | 32  | 0.2 | *** |  |  |  |
| C. incertus     | 34                          | 30                     | 35  | 31  | 32  | 0.2 | *** | 36  | 26               | 34                         | 33  | 32  | 0.3 | *** |  |  |  |
| H. berlangeri   | 39                          | 28                     | 38  | 32  | 32  | 0.4 | *** | 32  | 31               | 31                         | 30  | 31  | 0.2 | **  |  |  |  |
| P. hallii       | 32                          | 30                     | 29  | 31  | 31  | 0.6 | NS  | 31  | 29               | 32                         | 26  | 29  | 0.7 | **  |  |  |  |
| S. macrostachya | 37                          | 35                     | 42  | 33  | 36  | 1.0 | **  | 32  | 35               | 35                         | 31  | 33  | 0.4 | * * |  |  |  |
| Mean            | 33                          | 35                     | 34  | 33  | 34  | 1.0 | NS  | 32  | 32               | 33                         | 34  | 33  | 1.0 | NS  |  |  |  |
| SEM             | 0.4                         | 0.2                    | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.3 |     |     | 0.3 | 0.2              | 0.3                        | 0.6 | 1.4 |     |     |  |  |  |
| Sig             | ***                         | ***                    | *** | *** | *** |     |     | *** | ***              | ***                        | *** | *** |     |     |  |  |  |

<sup>1</sup>Percent of DM; <sup>2</sup>w=winter; sp=spring; su=summer; f=fall; M=annual mean, SEM=standard error of the mean; Sig=significant level; \*(P<0.05); \*\*(P<0.01); \*\*\*P<0.001); NS=not significant.

fraction b (c) were significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons (Table 2). In addition, EDNDF was significantly different among seasons and among grasses within seasons. *Cenchrus incertus* had higher annual mean and *A. longiseta* was lower. In general, during spring and autumn seasons EDDM was higher (P<0.001) than other seasons. With exception of *C. incertus* all grasses had lower EDNDF

values than *C. ciliaris* (Table 2). It seems that NDF (r=-0.31; P<0.05) and cellulose (r=-0.45; P<0.01) content in grasses negatively influenced the rumen digestion of NADF because when NDF or cellulose increased EDNDF decreased. Seasonal rainfall, however, positively influenced (r=0.31; P<0.05) EDNDF. This correlation coefficient may be explained because of the seasonal patterns visually approximated those

Table 2
Seasonal variation and annual means of the parameters of the *in situ* digestibility and effective degradability of neutral detergent fiber of cultivated grass *Cenchrus ciliaris* and six

Mexican native grasses

|                 |                      |       |     | a¹  |     |       |     | $\mathbf{b}_{_{1}}$ |     |           |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|---------------------|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Grasses         | Seasons <sup>2</sup> |       |     |     |     | ODD F |     |                     | S   |           |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
|                 | w                    | sp    | su  | f   | M   | SEM   | Sig | w                   | sp  | su        | f   | M   | SEM | Sig |  |  |  |
| A. longiseta    | 11                   | 12    | 9   | 12  | 11  | 0.2   | *** | 21                  | 27  | 23        | 28  | 25  | 1.7 | NS  |  |  |  |
| B. gracilis     | 13                   | 17    | 9   | 21  | 18  | 0.7   | *** | 23                  | 28  | 24        | 31  | 27  | 1.0 | **  |  |  |  |
| $C.\ ciliaris$  | 12                   | 16    | 15  | 17  | 15  | 0.6   | **  | 35                  | 47  | 43        | 50  | 44  | 1.6 | **  |  |  |  |
| C. incertus     | 14                   | 18    | 14  | 22  | 17  | 0.3   | *** | 43                  | 44  | 44        | 40  | 43  | 1.0 | NS  |  |  |  |
| H. berlangeri   | 11                   | 14    | 11  | 15  | 13  | 0.5   | **  | 26                  | 25  | 29        | 35  | 29  | 0.7 | *** |  |  |  |
| P. hallii       | 12                   | 16    | 12  | 17  | 14  | 0.3   | *** | 35                  | 40  | 34        | 42  | 38  | 0.9 | *** |  |  |  |
| S. macrostachya | 10                   | 12    | 10  | 14  | 11  | 0.4   | **  | 34                  | 29  | 29        | 31  | 31  | 1.6 | *   |  |  |  |
| Mean            | 12                   | 11    | 14  | 14  | 13  | 1.1   | *** | 30                  | 33  | 34        | 34  | 33  | 1.4 | **  |  |  |  |
| SEM             | 0.5                  | 0.4   | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 |       |     | 0.9                 | 1.7 | 1.4       | 0.8 | 1.9 |     |     |  |  |  |
| Sig             | ***                  | ***   | *** | *** | *** |       |     | ***                 | *** | ***       | *** | *** |     |     |  |  |  |
|                 |                      | $c^1$ |     |     |     |       |     |                     |     | $EDNDF^1$ |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
| A. longiseta    | 4                    | 4     | 4   | 4   | 4   | 0.6   | NS  | 22                  | 28  | 21        | 28  | 25  | 0.8 | **  |  |  |  |
| B. gracilis     | 5                    | 6     | 5   | 6   | 6   | 0.3   | NS  | 27                  | 37  | 29        | 40  | 33  | 0.7 | *** |  |  |  |
| C. ciliaris     | 5                    | 7     | 5   | 8   | 7   | 0.2   | *   | 36                  | 42  | 38        | 44  | 40  | 0.4 | *** |  |  |  |
| C. incertus     | 6                    | 7     | 6   | 7   | 7   | 0.3   | NS  | 39                  | 43  | 40        | 46  | 42  | 0.4 | *** |  |  |  |
| H. berlangeri   | 5                    | 6     | 5   | 8   | 6   | 0.03  | *   | 25                  | 34  | 28        | 37  | 31  | 0.6 | *** |  |  |  |
| P. hallii       | 6                    | 7     | 6   | 7   | 7   | 0.4   | NS  | 33                  | 39  | 34        | 42  | 39  | 0.4 | **  |  |  |  |
| S. macrostachya | 5                    | 6     | 5   | 7   | 6   | 0.4   | *   | 29                  | 31  | 29        | 33  | 30  | 0.7 | **  |  |  |  |
| Mean            | 6                    | 6     | 6   | 6   | 6   | 0.2   | NS  | 29                  | 30  | 34        | 38  | 33  | 1.3 | *** |  |  |  |
| SEM             | 0.4                  | 0.4   | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |       |     | 0.5                 | 0.8 | 0.4       | 0.5 | 1.7 |     |     |  |  |  |
| Sig             | *                    | **    | **  | *   | *** |       |     | ***                 | *** | ***       | *** | *** |     |     |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Percent of DM; <sup>2</sup>w=winter; sp=spring; su=summer; f=fall; M=annual mean, SEM=standard error of the mean; Sig=significant level; \*(P<0.05); \*\*(P<0.01); \*\*\*P<0.001); NS=not significant.

EDNDF=effective degradability of NDF calculated with a rumen outflow rate of 2.0%/h<sup>-1</sup>

obtained with NDF concentrations and rainfall. These effects have also been reported in introduced grasses to northeastern Mexico such as common buffelgrass (*C. ciliaris*; Ramirez *et al.*, 2001a), nueces buffelgrass (Ramirez *et al.*, 2001b), bermudagrass (Ramirez *et al.*, 2003) and *Dichanthium* 

annulatum (Ramirez et al., 2005). According to Johnson and de Oliveira (1989) the NDF content is a useful negative indicator of the nutritive value of feeds. Moreover, they indicated that a range of 45 to 55% NDF will permit a modest performance of ruminants. In this study, a higher range (72-87%; Table

a = intercept representing the portion of NDF solubilized at the beginning of incubation (time 0).

b = portion of NDF that is slowly degraded in the rumen.

c = rate constant of disappearance of fraction b.

1) was obtained, thus ruminants consuming these grasses might have a poor performance.

Seasonal rainfall and plant maturity influenced the NDF composition of all evaluated grasses. During spring and fall, when rainfall was higher NDF content was lower and EDNDF was higher. Conversely, in winter and summer when NDF was higher and rainfall was lower EDNDF was lower. The cultivated grass *C. ciliaris* is considered to be more digestible than native grasses, however, with good management, native grasses will have good productivity levels and are generally more persistent. Selection of superior native grasses may increase their value further.

### Acknowledgements

Research was funded by PAICYT (Programa de Apoyo a la Investigación Cientifica y Tecnológica); project: CN1098-05.

## References

- Foroughbakhch, R. 1992. Establishment and growth potential of fuel wood species in northeastern Mexico. Agroforesty Sys., 19: 95-108.
- Jung, H.C. and Allen, M.S. 1995. Characteristics of plant cell walls affecting intake and digestibility of forages by ruminants. J. Anim. Sci., 73: 2774-2790.
- Mertens, D.R. and Sauvant, D. 2001. Measuring neutral detergent fiber in feeds and forages. J. Anim. Sci., 79 (Suppl. I) Abstract # 821.
- Ramirez, R.G., Sauced, J.C., Narro, J.A. and Aranda, J. 1993. Preference indices for forage species grazed by Spanish goats on a semiarid shrubland in Mexico. J. Appl. Anim. Res., 3: 55-66.
- Ramirez, R.G., Mireles, E., Huerta, J.M. and Aranda, J. 1995. Food habits of range sheep grazing a buffelgrass pasture. Small Rumin. Res., 17: 129-136.
- Ramirez, R.G., Quintanilla-Gonzalez, J.B. and Aranda, J. 1997. Diets of white-tailed deer in northeastern Mexico. Small Rumin. Res., 25: 142-149.
- Ramirez, R.G. 1999. Feed resources and feeding techniques of small ruminants under extensive management conditions. Small Rumin. Res., 34: 215-230.

- Ramirez, R.G., Foroughbackhch, R., Hauad, L.A., Alba-Avila, J., Garcia-Castillo, C.G. and Espinoza-Vázquez, M. 2001. Seasonal dynamics of dry matter crude protein and cell wall digestion in total plant, leaves and stems of common buffelgrass (Cenchrus ciliaris). J. Appl. Anim. Res., 19: 40-49.
- Ramirez, R.G., Foroughbackhch, R., Hauad, L., Alba-Avila, J., García-Castillo, C.G. and Espinosa-Vázquez, M. 2001b. Seasonal variation of in situ digestibility of dry matter, crude protein and cell wall of total plant, leaves and stems of Nueces buffelgrass (Cenchrus ciliaris). J. Appl. Anim. Res., 20: 38-47.
- Ramirez, R.G., González-Rodríguez, H. and García-Dessommes, G. 2003. Nutríent digestion of common bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon L) Pers. growing in northeastern Mexico. J. Appl. Anim. Res., 23: 93-102.
- Ramirez, R.G., González-Rodríguez, H., García-Dessommes, G. and Morales-Rodríguez, R. 2005. Seasonal Trends in the Chemical Composition and Digestion of *Dichanthium annulatum* (Forssk.) Stapf. J. Appl. Anim. Res., 28: 35-40.
- Reid, R.L., Jung, G.A. and Allinson, D.W. 1988. Nutritive quality of warm season grasses in the northeast. West Virginia University Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station Bulletin, 699, pp. 1-57.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, P.A. 1980. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics*. McGraw-Hill New York. pp. 377-444.
- Vast Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. and Lewis, B.A. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci., 74: 3583-3597.
- आर.जी. रामिरेज। छुद्र रोमांथियों द्वारा भिक्षत स्थापित सेन्क्रस सीजिएरिस और छः देसी मेक्सिकनी घासों की स्वस्थाने पाच्यता।

उत्तर-पूर्वी मेक्सिको से देसी घासें जैसे एरिस्टिदा लांगीसेटा (स्ट्यूड), बौटेलौवा ग्रेसिलिस (थर्ब), सेन्क्रस इन्स्ट्स (एम.ए. किटेंस), हिलेरिया बर्लेन्गेरी (स्ट्यूड नथ), पैनिकम हैल्लाई (वार्से) और सिटेरिया मैक्रोस्टेचिया (एच.बी.के.) और उगाई जाने वाली स्थापित सेन्क्रस सीलिएरिस (एल.) घासों का मूल्यांकन मौसमी उदासीन अपक्षारक रेशा (उअरे) और उसकी पाच्यता की दर और सीमा मापने के लिए किया गया। पैनिकम हैल्लाई में उअरे, सेलुलोज और हैमी सेलुलोज की मात्राएं क्रमशः 72%, 34%, और 26% न्यूनतम, और एरिस्टिडा लांगीसेटा में उसी क्रम में 87%, 37% और 37% सर्वाधिक थी। सेन्क्रस इन्सर्टस की 42% प्रभावी उअरे पाच्यता ए. लांगीसेटा के 25% से अधिक थी। सेन्क्रस सीजिएरिस के 40% की तुलना में सेन्क्रस इन्सर्टस की छोड़कार सभी देसी घासों की प्रभावी उअरे पाच्यता कम थी। इसलिए उअरे की पाच्यता कम होने के कारण चारागाह पर चरने वाले छुद्र रोमांथियों के लिए देसी घासें निम्न कोटि की मानी जाती हैं।