RESEARCH PAPER

On the structure and normal modes of hydrogenated Ti-fullerene compounds

Alfredo Tlahuice-Flores · Sergio Mejía-Rosales · Donald H. Galván

Received: 14 March 2012/Accepted: 13 July 2012/Published online: 25 July 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

Abstract When titanium covers a C_{60} core, the metal atoms may suppress the fullerene's capacity of storing hydrogen, depending on the number of Ti atoms covering the C_{60} framework, the Ti–C binding energy, and diffusion barriers. In this article, we study the structural and vibrational properties of the C_{60} Ti H_n (n=2,4,6, and 8) and C_{60} Ti H_{60} T

the stretching modes of $\rm H_2$ molecules at apical and azimuthal positions. On the other hand, the IR spectrum of $\rm C_{60}\rm Ti_6\rm H_{48}$ shows an intense peak due to the Ti–H in-phase stretching mode, while its Raman spectrum has a maximum attributed to the pentagonal pinch of the $\rm C_{60}$ core. Finally, we have found that the presence of one apical $\rm H_2$ molecule enhances the pentagonal pinch mode, becoming the maximum in the Raman spectrum.

Keywords Raman · Hydrogen storage · Coated fullerene · Transition metals · Infrared · Atomic clusters

This article is part of the topical collection on nanomaterials in energy, health and environment

A. Tlahuice-Flores (⋈)

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000 Mexico, DF, Mexico

e-mail: tlahuicef@yahoo.com

S. Mejía-Rosales

CICFIM-Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, and Centro de Innovación, Investigación y Desarrollo en Ingeniería y Tecnología, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, 66450 San Nicolás de los Garza, NL, Mexico

e-mail: sergio.mejiars@uanl.edu.mx

D. H. Galván

Centro de Nanociencias y Nanotecnologia-Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 2681, Ensenada, BC, Mexico

e-mail: donald@cnyn.unam.mx

Introduction

The number of studies of new nanostructures (Zhao et al. 2005; Iñiguez et al. 2007; Yildirim et al. 2005; Bauschlicher et al. 2002; Zope et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2000; Yoon et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2008; Durgun et al. 2008; Koh et al. 2011, Kiran et al. 2006) able to absorb H_2 in at least 9 wt% have increased in the last years, following the goal proposed by the US Department of Energy of developing a system with this net gravimetric capacity for hydrogen storage. Among the different materials under consideration, systems composed by C_{60} compounds coated with transition metals are between the most promising ones. Nevertheless, most of the reports on the properties of these nanomaterials have concentrated their attention to the



calculation of adsorption energies, although a few valuable exceptions exist; the need of a vibrational characterization of the hydrogenated $C_{60}\text{TiH}_n$ compounds, by example, has been remarked by Iñiguez et al., and their study on infrared spectra give value to this kind of calculations (Iñiguez et al. 2007).


In comparison with other transition metals, Titanium has a small atomic radius (it has a metallic radius of 1.45 Å), which potentiates its use as a material in hydrogen storage devices. However, Ti atoms have a known tendency to form clusters when attached to a C₆₀ core, which is a factor of inhibition of the hydrogen adsorption (Sun et al. 2005). Thus, a main issue is to estimate how many Ti atoms can be attached to the fullerenic cage without being close to each other enough to allow the formation of clusters. In the case of Pt and Ir atoms, there exist previous reports of the synthesis of hexa-coordinated compounds, and it has been found that once that six Pt atoms are bound to the fullerenic cage, it is not possible the addition of more atoms (Fajan et al. 1991, 1992; Bach et al. 1991).

Recently, a study of single transition-metal atoms laying on graphene and on a (8,0) single-wall carbon nanotube (SWCNT) has made clear that the clustering of the transition metal atoms depends on factors such as low metal binding energy and low diffusion barriers (Valencia et al. 2010). While these factors determine the clustering of Ti atoms specifically on graphene and SWCNT with a large diameter (Krasnov et al. 2007), it is likely that the same will occur on C_{60} , but in this case the small diameter and large curvature of C_{60} would difficult the clustering and would make the fullerene a suitable candidate as substrate for transition metals.

In this article we report the all-electron calculation of the IR and Raman spectra of the hexa-coordinated $C_{60}TiH_n$ compounds and the more hydrogenated $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ compound (point group T_h), and demonstrate the feasibility of their synthesis in accordance with its calculated spectra. In order to be able to perform these calculations despite their computational cost (mostly because of the high use of memory), the symmetry of each compound has been exploited to reduce computing time and make more feasible these calculations.

Theoretical methods and computational details

All the calculations were performed within the density functional theory (DFT) framework (Hohenberg and



The all-electron DFT calculations in this study were carried out by using the Gaussian 03 package (Frisch et al. 2003), with the B3LYP exchange–correlation functional, a 6-31G(d) basis set, and a tight convergence criterion of the auto-consistent cycle (SCF). The choice of a base that includes polarization functions is based on reports showing that these functions have influence on the prediction of the Raman intensities (Halls and Bernhard 1999).

The binding energy (E_b) was estimated using the following expression:

$$E_{\rm b} = [(E(C_{60}) + E(Ti_n)) - E(Ti_n/C_{60})]/n,$$

where $E(C_{60})$ is the total energy of the pure C_{60} , $E(Ti_n)$ is the Ti_n cluster's total energy, and $E(Ti_n/C_{60})$ is the total energy of the Ti_n cluster adsorbed on the C_{60} .

Previous to the study of C_{60} TiH_n compounds, we calculated the binding energy of a Ti atom on the C_{60} , testing the (6,6), (5,6), pentagonal and hexagonal sites. From the results of these calculations it can be concluded that the best site to bind the Ti atom is on the (6,6) bond $(E_b \text{ of } 2.38 \text{ eV})$, followed by the (5,6)site (1.80 eV), and the hexagonal site (1.48 eV). A later estimate of the binding energy (E_b) for the Ti atom bound to (6,6) bond was calculated taking into account the basis set superposition error (BSSE) that arises from the use of an incomplete basis set to represent the interacting species (fragments). The corrected $E_{\rm b}$ was 2.45 eV. We discarded the case of the bond with a pentagonal ring, since the calculation predicts one imaginary frequency. These results disagree with those obtained by Yildirim et al. (2005) that using the supercell and a pseudopotential approximation, reported that the Ti adsorbed on the hexagonal ring is the most stable one. Nevertheless, our results are consistent with the known capacity of



transition metals to bind to the (6,6) bond (Mathur et al. 1998; Shin et al. 2006).

A set of isomers for the $C_{60}TiH_n$ compounds (point group $C_{2\nu}$) and the $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ compound (point group T_h) were calculated, and the isomers with imaginary frequencies were let out of the study. The $C_{60}TiH_2$ molecule was prepared with two H atoms bound to the Ti atom, forming a cyclopropane-like bond with two carbon atoms of the C_{60} core (Fig. 1a). The $C_{60}TiH_4$ molecule has, in addition, one more apical H_2 molecule (Fig. 1b). The $C_{60}TiH_6$ molecule is obtained when two azimuthal H_2 are bound to the original $C_{60}TiH_2$ molecule (Fig. 1c). Finally, the $C_{60}TiH_8$, is a $C_{60}TiH_6$ molecule with an additional apical H_2 molecule (Fig. 1d). The optimized $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ compound has six TiH_8 units in an octahedral array (Fig. 1e).

Results and discussion

Bond lengths

The different characteristic bond lengths on each system are summarized in Table 1. We can note that the H–H bonds may have two different lengths, depending on the relative position of the $\rm H_2$ molecule with respect to the whole structure, the apical $\rm H_2$ molecules being larger than the azimuthal ones.

On the other hand, the Ti–H bond, as in the original $C_{60}\text{TiH}_2$, is large when apical H_2 molecules are present, and short when azimuthal H_2 molecules are added. The characteristic bond lengths of the $C_{60}\text{TiH}_n$ compounds are compared in Fig. 2, and the specific

Table 1 Bond length values, in Å, for all the calculated compounds

Compounds	Bond	Bond length (Å)
C ₆₀	C-C	1.395
	C=C	1.453
$C_{60}TiH_2$	Ti–H	1.723
C ₆₀ TiH ₄	H ₂ , apical	0.782
	Ti–H	1.733
C_{60} Ti H_6	H ₂ , azimutal	0.761
	Ti–H	1.72
C_{60} TiH ₈	H ₂ , apical	0.793
	H ₂ , azimutal	0.764
	Ti–H	1.725
$C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$	H ₂ , apical	0.792
	H ₂ , azimutal	0.765
	Ti–H	1.736

case of C_{60} TiH₈ is compared against the bond lengths for the C_{60} Ti₆H₄₈ in Fig. 3; here, we can note that the existence of six Ti atoms bound to the C_{60} core produces a deformation of the near C–C bonds.

IR and Raman spectra

The obtained frequencies and their irreducible representations use Mulliken symbols. In this notation, A and B represent 1 dimension or 1 degeneracy, E represents twofold degeneracy and T represents threefold degeneracy.

In general, the IR and Raman spectra (Figs. 4 and 5, respectively) for the calculated C_{60} TiH_n compounds




Fig. 1 Ball and stick models of the optimized $C_{60}TiH_n$ compounds with $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry, and the $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ compound with T_h symmetry. The atoms of Ti (red), C (gray), and H (white) are depicted. **a** $C_{60}TiH_2$, **b** $C_{60}TiH_4$ with one apical H_2

molecule, \mathbf{c} $C_{60}\text{TiH}_6$ with two azimuthal H_2 molecules, \mathbf{d} $C_{60}\text{TiH}_8$ with one apical and two azimuthal H_2 molecules, and \mathbf{e} $C_{60}\text{Ti}_6H_{48}$ structure. (Color figure online)






Fig. 2 Bond lengths of the optimized $C_{60}TiH_n$ compounds. In the case of $C_{60}TiH_8$ there are two types of H–H distances. The C–C and C=C distances in the C_{60} framework are between 1.3 and 1.5 Å. Other bonds are the C–C bonds near and forming the cyclopropane-like bridge, and Ti–H and Ti–C bonds




Fig. 3 Bond lengths of the optimized $C_{60}\text{TiH}_8$ and $C_{60}\text{Ti}_6H_{48}$ compounds. There are two different distances for the H–H bond, corresponding to both the azimuthal H_2 and the apical H_2 bonds, respectively. Other depicted bonds are the C–C bonds near and forming the cyclopropane-like bridge, and Ti–H and Ti–C bonds

have peaks at the same frequencies, whose irreducible representations are A_1 , B_1 , and B_2 , while the vibrational mode with A_2 irreducible representation is only active in Raman spectra. We describe individually the results for the different compounds in the next subsections.



The optimized structure (see Fig. 1a) has bond lengths in the range from 1.39 to 2.05 Å, and it has 183 normal modes, whose irreducible representations are

$$\Gamma = 49A_1 + 43A_2 + 45B_1 + 46B_2.$$

The estimate of E_b for the atomic H atoms, calculated using a BSSE correction is of 7.82 eV/2H, value that implies that the H atoms are unlikely to desorb.

The maximum in both IR and Raman spectra is at 1715.05 cm^{-1} (within the A_1 irreducible representation), corresponding to a Ti–H in-phase stretching mode. Near to this peak, at 1693.92 cm^{-1} , the Ti–H out-of-phase stretching mode is also present. From $350 \text{ to } 700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ there are three more peaks, attributable to the C_{60} core vibrations and to the two H atoms. A mode is located At 364.85 cm^{-1} , corresponding to the wagging mode of the two H atoms. The scissors mode of the H atoms is located at 673.14 cm^{-1} .

The Raman spectrum (Fig. 5) has 23 evident peaks, two of them coinciding with the IR active modes mentioned above. In the Raman spectrum we can locate the vibrational modes due to the wagging mode of two C atoms of the cyclopropane-like ring (963.31 cm⁻¹), the pentagonal pinch of the C_{60} core, which in the bare C_{60} molecule is located at 1503.7 cm⁻¹, and the breathing mode at 491.064 cm^{-1} (496.797 cm^{-1} for C₆₀ molecule). Moreover, there exist one peak at 1614.62 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the stretching mode of the (6,6) bonds on the C₆₀ core. In order of intensity, the calculated modes in the Raman spectrum are: Ti-H in-phase stretching mode, cyclopropane-like ring out-of-phase vibration (1195.26 cm⁻¹), pentagonal pinch mode (1495.13 cm⁻¹), and Ti-H out-of-phase stretching mode. It is worthwhile to remark that the calculation of the Raman spectrum allows us the study of those vibrational modes that are no IR active modes or that are comparatively weak. For example, the pentagonal pinch generates an intense peak on the calculated Raman spectrum.

Neutral C_{60} Ti H_4 with C_{2v} symmetry

The optimized $C_{60}\text{TiH}_4$ structure has bond lengths in the range from 0.782 to 2.06 Å, and it has 189 normal modes whose irreducible representations are:






Fig. 4 IR spectra for the $C_{60}TiH_n$ compounds with $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry. **a** $C_{60}TiH_2$, **b** $C_{60}TiH_4$, **c** $C_{60}TiH_6$, and **d** $C_{60}TiH_8$

$$\Gamma = 51A_1 + 44A_2 + 46B_1 + 48B_2.$$

The apical H_2 molecule has an E_b of 0.13 eV, as estimated using a BSSE correction.

The IR spectrum shows a maximum around 1672.30 cm⁻¹, due to the Ti-H in-phase stretching mode, and the Ti-H out-of-phase stretching mode is located at 1656.87 cm⁻¹. The wagging mode of the two H atoms bound to the C atom of the cyclopropanelike ring is located at 409.48 cm⁻¹. The Raman spectrum shows five more representatives peaks, which with the exception the Ti-H vibrational modes, appear as weak signals in the IR spectrum: at 1495.04 cm^{-1} the pentagonal pinch mode of the C_{60} core is a maximum; at 3730.38 cm⁻¹ the apical H-H stretching mode (weak intensity in IR); at 1656.87 and 1672.30 cm⁻¹ the Ti-H vibrational stretching modes-which are active at IR too-, and at 945.792 cm⁻¹, the scissors mode of the H atoms (weak intensity in IR). At first instance, the presence of one apical H₂ molecule into the C₆₀TiH₄ enhances the




Fig. 5 Raman spectra for the C_{60} TiH_n compounds with $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry. **a** C_{60} TiH₂, **b** C_{60} TiH₄, **c** C_{60} TiH₆, and **d** C_{60} TiH₈

pentagonal pinch mode of the C_{60} framework, making it the maximum into the calculated Raman spectrum.

Neutral C_{60} Ti H_6 with C_{2v} symmetry

Their bond lengths are in the range from 0.761 to 2.135 Å and its 195 normal modes have the irreducible representations

$$\Gamma = 53A_1 + 45A_2 + 48B_1 + 49B_2.$$

The two azimuthal H_2 molecules are bound with an energy of 0.18/2 H_2 (E_b including a BSSE correction).

The IR spectrum has a large peak at 1707.28 cm⁻¹ due to the Ti–H in-phase stretching mode, followed in intensity by one peak at 1691.14 cm⁻¹, likely due to the Ti–H out-of-phase stretching mode, and by a peak at 612.08 cm⁻¹ due to the scissors mode of the two H atoms with the two H₂ molecules moving parallel to the C–C bond. Moreover, the spectrum shows weak signals at 4097.60 cm⁻¹ associated to an azimuthal H–H in-phase vibrational mode with its corresponding out-of-phase mode at 4089.81 cm⁻¹, while the H₂–Ti–H₂ vibrational bending mode is located at 329.72 cm⁻¹.



The Raman spectrum has its maximum at 1707.28 cm⁻¹, followed in intensity by the pentagonal pinch at 1493.97 cm⁻¹. The third on intensity is the vibration located at 4089.81 cm⁻¹. At fourth place the 4097.60 cm⁻¹ peak is present. In fifth place is the Ti–H out-of-phase stretching mode at 1691.14 cm⁻¹, and finally the H–Ti–H bending mode is at 612.076 cm⁻¹.

Neutral C_{60} Ti H_8 with C_{2v} symmetry

All the bonds of the optimized structure lay in the range from 0.764 to 2.161 Å, with 201 modes with irreducible representations:

$$\Gamma = 55A_1 + 46A_2 + 50B_1 + 50B_2$$
.

In the IR spectrum, there are two high-intensity peaks: the first at 1664.92 cm⁻¹ is due to the Ti–H in-phase stretching mode, and the second one at 1655.53 cm⁻¹ that corresponds to the out-of-phase mode. Other weak signals are the azimuthal H–H in-phase mode at 4041.15 cm⁻¹, and the out-of-phase is at 4032.46 cm⁻¹. The apical H–H in-phase stretching mode is at 3548.71 cm⁻¹, and the scissors vibration of the two H₂ molecules is located at 392.908 cm⁻¹.

The Raman spectrum shows a maximum at 1494.01 cm^{-1} (also observed in the C_{60} TiH₄ compound), which represents the pentagonal pinch. The next in intensity is the 4032.46 cm⁻¹ peak. The peak at 3548.71 cm⁻¹ is on third place, and in fourth place is the mode at 4041.15 cm⁻¹. Other less intense peaks are those due to the C-C vibration modes in the C_{60} core (1,360–1,450 cm⁻¹ range). Moreover, the Ti-apical H stretching out-of-phase mode is present at 1356.97 cm⁻¹. The scissors mode of the two H atoms combined with a stretching mode between the Ti atom and the apical H₂ molecule is at 950.164 cm⁻¹, and the breathing mode of the C_{60} core is at 492.2 cm⁻¹. In this compound the order in the strength of the Raman spectrum peaks is similar to the one calculated for the C₆₀TiH₄ compound, where the azimuthal H-H modes are absent.

Neutral $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ with T_h symmetry

The $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ has 336 normal modes with irreducible representations

$$\Gamma = 45T_u + 45T_g + 15E_g + 15A_g + 7E_u + 7A_u$$
.

The normal modes that are IR actives have a T_u irreducible representation, while the Raman-active




Fig. 6 IR and Raman spectra for the C_{60} Ti₆H₄₈ structure modes have T_g , E_g , E_u , A_g , and A_u representations (Fig. 6).

The IR actives modes are threefold degenerated, with the more intense peak in the IR spectrum, located at 1650.18 cm⁻¹, related to three modes of a pair of H-Ti-H bonds located at both sides of the C₆₀ core, vibrating out of phase (Fig. 7a). This vibration mode includes the expansion of two Ti-H bonds in one side, and the contraction of two Ti-H bonds located in the opposed side of the C₆₀ core. But the Ti-H stretching out-of-phase mode at 1640.45 cm⁻¹, implies the Ti-H contraction and Ti-H expansion in one H-Ti-H unit simultaneously (Fig. 7b), which are related with the opposed H-Ti-H unit by a mirror element of symmetry. A triple degenerated peak at 4015.12 cm⁻¹ is related to four azimuthal H2 molecules that are located at both sides of the C_{60} core. The movement is a contraction of two azimuthal H2 molecules on a side of the C₆₀ core, with an expansion on the opposite side (Fig. 7c).

The peak around 3566.30 cm⁻¹, corresponds to the apical H–H stretching mode. Two apical H₂ molecules located at both sides of the C₆₀ core participate in this vibration mode, one of them contracting while the other expands itself (Fig. 7d).

The scissors mode at 357.77 cm^{-1} , has two H₂–Ti– H₂ units in both sides of the C₆₀ framework, moving on opposed directions simultaneously (Fig. 7e).

The spectrum has another two less intense modes: at 4004.74 cm^{-1} the azimuthal H_2 out-of-phase






Fig. 7 Five more intense peaks on the infrared spectrum for the $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ compound. **a** 1650.18 cm⁻¹, **b** 1640.45 cm⁻¹, **c** 4015.12 cm⁻¹, **d** 3566.30 cm⁻¹, and **e** 357.77 cm⁻¹

stretching mode, due to two azimuthal H_2 molecules on one side of the C_{60} core and another two azimuthal H_2 molecules on the opposed side, vibrating out-of-phase (the movement between H_2 molecules on both sides of the C_{60} core has a mirror element of symmetry); at 940.997 cm⁻¹ there exist a bending mode, which consists of two H–Ti–H units located on opposed sides of the C_{60} -core vibrating at the same time. All these frequencies correspond only to IR active modes.

On the other hand, the Raman spectrum shows a maximum at 1441.58 cm^{-1} , due to the pentagonal pinch (Fig. 8a). The next peak on intensity is at 4016.23 cm^{-1} and represents the azimuthal H–H inphase stretching mode of all the twelve azimuthal H_2 molecules (Fig. 8b), while the out-of-phase mode is present at 4014.88 cm^{-1} , where only two pairs of apical H_2 molecules separated by the C_{60} -core participate (Fig. 8c).

There exist a vibration stretching mode of the C–C bond of the cyclopropane-like ring at 1271.87 cm⁻¹, that correspond to six C–C pairs vibrating at the same time. Other vibration mode is present at 1353.23 cm⁻¹, due to two pairs of cyclopropane-like rings located to the both sides of the C_{60} core, where each pair expand or contract at the same time. Moreover, the (6,6) bonds

near to four cyclopropane-like rings of the C_{60} -core vibrate at 1561.37 cm⁻¹.

At $1657.14~\rm cm^{-1}$ there exists a H–Ti–H in-phase stretching mode of all the 12 H atoms bound to the six Ti atoms. At $4004.71~\rm cm^{-1}$ is the out-of-phase azimuthal stretching mode, which includes two pairs of H₂ located at both sides of the C₆₀ core. At $3566.3~\rm cm^{-1}$ the apical H₂ in-phase stretching mode is present, participating two H₂ molecules on opposite sides of the C₆₀ framework. The scissor vibration mode of the H–Ti–H bonds is present at $939.67~\rm cm^{-1}$, and includes four Ti atoms and eight H atoms.

The Ti–H out-of-phase stretching mode is located at 1640.15 cm⁻¹. This mode includes two Ti atoms and four H atoms, with the Ti atoms related by a mirror symmetry. The Ti–H in-phase stretching mode is present at 1649.81 cm⁻¹; this vibration includes eight H atoms bound to four Ti atoms, where the contraction and expansion occur simultaneously.

The breathing mode of the C_{60} core is located at 483.78 cm⁻¹; in this mode the six pairs of C atoms bound to six Ti atoms stay static, a behavior also observed in the pentagonal pinch.

From all the studied structures, we have found a trend into the enhanced pentagonal pinch mode (Table 2), where the presence of one apical H_2




Fig. 8 Five more intense peaks on the Raman spectrum for the $C_{60}T_{16}H_{48}$ compound. **a** Pentagonal pinch at 1441.58 cm⁻¹, **b** 4016.23 cm⁻¹, **c** 4014.88 cm⁻¹, **d** 1271.87 cm⁻¹, and **e** 1353.23 cm⁻¹

Table 2 Bond lengths (Å), and frequencies (cm $^{-1}$) of selected modes of the calculated $C_{60}TiH_n$ and $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ compounds

Compound	Ti–H bond	Ti-H in/ out-of-phase	Apical H ₂ bond	Apical H ₂ frequency	Azimuthal H ₂ bond	Azimuthal in/ out-of-phase H ₂
C ₆₀ TiH ₂	1.723	1715.05/1693.92				
$C_{60}TiH_4$	1.733	1672.30/1656.87	0.782	3730.38		
$C_{60}TiH_6$	1.720	1707.28/1691.14			0.761	4097.60/4089.81
$C_{60}TiH_8$	1.725	1664.92/1655.53	0.793	3548.71	0.764	4041.15/4032.46
$C_{60}Ti_{6}H_{48}$	1.736	1650.18/1640.47	0.792	3566.30	0.765	4015.12/4004.74

molecule in the C_{60} TiH_n compounds have the effect of increasing the length of the Ti–H bond, shifting its frequency to lower values (see for example the compounds C_{60} TiH₄, C_{60} TiH₈, and C_{60} Ti₆H₄₈). Furthermore, all the calculated IR and Raman spectra for the C_{60} TiH_n and C_{60} Ti₆H₄₈ compounds allowed us to find a correlation of the Raman and the infrared active modes on the C_{60} molecule and the C_{60} core of all the studied compounds (Table 3). Also, the shift on the

frequency allows us to determinate which modes are more perturbed. For example, three normal modes of the C_{60} parent, located at 1454.36, 1503.07, and 1460 cm⁻¹, shift by more than 60 cm^{-1} in the $C_{60}\text{Ti}_6\text{H}_{48}$ compound. This shift shows that the more influenced is the pentagonal pinch with a lower value of about 60 cm^{-1} , while the other frequencies are almost unperturbed: for example the azimuthal H_2 frequencies in- or out-of-phase are lower for about

Table 3 Correlation between the calculated IR and Raman-active modes of the bare C_{60} , and their corresponding modes for the C_{60} Ti $_6$ H $_4$ 8 compounds

C ₆₀	$C_{60}TiH_2$	$C_{60}TiH_4$	$C_{60}TiH_6$	$C_{60}TiH_8$	$C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$
265.89 (H _g)	258.21 (A ₁)	258.50	260.20	260.49	$260.50 (T_g), 166.95 (E_g)$
	299.27 (A ₁)	298.18	288.10	287.17	
	256.87 (A ₂)	257.07	259.84	260.16	
	266.53 (B ₁)	266.63	266.94	267.61	
	266.98 (B ₂)	267.49	264.36	264.82	
437.42	434.08	434.08	434.01	434.08	429.64, 483.00
	451.20	534.47	449.74	450.28	
	433.84	433.92	434.17	434.39	
	438.24	440.52	433.78	434.11	
	434.21	433.04	437.28	441.09	
720.95	718.32	720.17	719.95	719.86	727.05, 724.14
	720.31	737.51	725.46	724.58	
	713.73	713.87	713.29	713.46	
	720.00	719.91	722.12	722.27	
	721.77	721.95	719.64	719.60	
787.69	.69 769.65 76	769.98	772.25	772.54	791.03, 733.41
	785.71	785.78	785.18	785.27	
	789.00	788.72	789.62	789.59	
	777.68	778.70	783.13	782.83	
	780.97	779.79	780.49	782.27	
1126.53	1125.45	1125.67	1126.14	1216.55	1221.62, 1135.16
	1176.64	1178.73	1198.04	1267.56	
	1113.52	1113.20	1115.32	1115.49	
	1100.34	1102.11	1126.48	1126.43	
	1126.09	1125.77	1101.16	1103.60	



Table 3 continued

C ₆₀	$C_{60}TiH_2$	$C_{60}TiH_4$	$C_{60}TiH_6$	$C_{60}TiH_8$	$C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$
1276.40	1260.15	1260.50	1266.90	1267.56	1267.34, 1256.16
	1279.72	1279.79	1281.58	1281.67	
	1265.84	1265.88	1266.52	1266.60	
	1272.60	1272.90	1265.05	1265.15	
	1264.58	1264.63	1272.18	1272.44	
1454.36	1452.87	1453.18	1454.34	1451.85	1396.41, 1353.23
	1455.27	1455.13	1460.96	1454.30	
	1457.07	1457.03	1456.27	1456.31	
	1457.42	1457.33	1454.96	1455.24	
	1455.89	1455.98	1457.23	1457.16	
1616.89	1611.35	1611.40	1611.31	1611.38	1599.72, 1649.80
	1614.62	1614.83	1614.11	1614.32	
	1612.11	1612.12	1612.43	1613.09	
	1613.96	1613.99	1613.10	1613.06	
	1613.69	1613.62	1613.83	1614.33	
496.80 (A ₁)	491.06 (A_g)	491.24	492.04	492.20	$483.79 \; (A_g)$
1503.07	1495.13	1495.04	1493.97	1494.01	1441.58
537.21 (T_{1u})	534.71 (A ₁)	534.47	534.75	534.41	538.39 (T_u)
	537.28 (B ₁)	537.11	536.12	538.92	
	533.02 (B ₂)	533.06	537.03	531.47	
588.44	580.54	581.55	588.86	579.72	581.66
	581.17	581.20	589.86	590.70	
	587.41	586.96	585.21	595.68	
1213.91	1216.74	1216.95	1216.37	1216.55	1215.47
	1210.29	1210.48	1213.38	1213.20	
	1212.13	1211.65	1209.75	1209.96	
1460.00	1460.94	1460.69	1460.96	1460.85	1388.28
	1457.42	1457.33	1454.96	1455.24	
	1455.89	1455.98	1457.23	1457.16	

 $26~{\rm cm}^{-1}$ in the hexa-coordinated, the Ti–H frequencies are small by around $14~{\rm cm}^{-1}$, but the apical ${\rm H_2}$ frequencies are around $18~{\rm cm}^{-1}$ larger. The reason for the lower value of the pentagonal pinch in the ${\rm C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}}$ is a weak mode, produced by the holding of 12 C atoms bound to the six Ti atoms.

Summary and conclusions

In this report we propose the hexa-coordinated $C_{60}Ti_6H_{48}$ compound as a viable hydrogen storage material, based on the value of the calculated binding energy of 2.37 eV for the six Ti atoms bound to the C_{60}

framework. In order to provide some confidence about the studied $C_{60}TiH_n$ compounds, we have calculated the binding energies for the Ti atom on the C_{60} , where the Ti atom is bound to (6,6), (5,6), hexagonal and pentagonal sites. The difference between the more stable (6,6) and the (5,6) site for the $C_{60}Ti$ structures is 0.58 eV, in contrast with a previous calculation by Valencia et al. (2010) that mention that a 0.3 eV value is sufficient to hold one single Ti atom and to avoid the diffusion and therefore the clustering. It is important to mention here that the difference in energy between the (6,6) and (5,6) sites has to be considered as an indicative energy barrier of possible diffusion path to the Ti atom, within the quasiharmonic approximation.



However, the determination of the true diffusion path may be a complex, time consuming task, beyond the scope of this study that focuses on the structural and vibrational properties of these compounds.

We calculate the IR and Raman spectra of the hydrogenated Ti metal-fullerene compounds, and report the irreducible representation of their normal modes. We have found that a more wide characterization of the studied compounds can be done by the calculation of their Raman spectra. Furthermore, we have found that the presence of an apical H₂ molecule enhance the pentagonal pinch of the C₆₀ framework. Since the synthesis of these compounds is feasible, the calculated spectra may play a determining role in the identification of the compounds after the synthesis process, and the approach can be adjusted for the study of other hydrogenated metal-fullerene compounds.

Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge al Departamento de Supercómputo DGSCA-UNAM for the support provided.

References

- Bach AL, Catalano VJ, Lee JW, Olmstead MM, Parkin SR (1991) (.eta.2-C70)Ir(CO)Cl(PPh3)2: the synthesis and structure of an iridium organometallic derivate of a higher fullerene. J Am Chem Soc 113:8953–8955. doi:10.1021/ja00023a057
- Bauschlicher CW Jr, So CR (2002) High coverages of hydrogen on (10,0), (9,0) and (5,5) carbon nanotubes. Nanoletters 2:337–341. doi:10.1021/nl0202830
- Durgun E, Ciraci S, Yildirim T (2008) Functionalization of carbon-based nanostructures with light transition-metal atoms for hydrogen storage. Phys Rev B 77:085405-1–085405-9. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.77.085405
- Fajan PJ, Calabrese JC, Malone B (1991) A multiply-substituted buckminsterfullerene (C60) with an octahedral array of platinum atoms. J Am Chem Soc 113:9408–9409. doi: 10.1021/ja00024a079
- Fajan PJ, Calabrese JC, Malone B (1992) Metal complexes of buckminsterfullerene (C60). Acc Chem Res 25:134–142. doi:10.1021/ar00015a006
- Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR et al (2003) Gaussian 03, revision B.03. 2003. Gaussian Inc., Wallingford
- Halls MD, Bernhard HS (1999) Comparison study of the prediction of Raman intensities using electronic structure methods. J Chem Phys 111:8819–8824. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.480228
- Hohenberg P, Kohn W (1964) Inhomogeneous electron gas. Phys Rev 136:B864–B871. doi:10.1103/PhysRev. 136.B864

- Iñiguez J, Zhou W, Yildirim T (2007) Vibrational properties of TiH_n complexes adsorbed on carbon nanostructures. Chem Phys Lett 444:140–144. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.cplett.2007.06.133
- Kiran B, Kandalam AK, Jena P (2006) Hydrogen storage and the 18-electron rule. J Chem Phys. 124:224703–224706. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2202320
- Koh W, Choi JI, Lee SG, Lee WR, Jang SS (2011) First-principles study of Li adsorption in a carbon nanotube-fuller-ene hybrid system. Carbon 49:286–293. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2010.09.022
- Krasnov PO, Ding F, Singh AK, Yakobson BI (2007) Clustering of Sc on SWNT and reduction of hydrogen uptake: ab initio all-electron calculations. J Phys Chem C 111:17977–17980. doi:10.1021/jp077264t
- Lee H, Li J, Zhou G, Duan W, Kim G, Ihm J (2008) Room-temperature dissociative hydrogen chemisorption on boron-doped fullerenes. Phys Rev B 77:235101-1–235101-5. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.77.235101
- Mathur P, Mavunkal IJ, Umbarkar SB (1998) Synthetic methodologies and structures of metal- $[C_{60}]$ fullerene complexes. J Cluster Sci 9:393–415. doi:10.1023/A:10219 34431858
- Shin WH, Yang SH, Goddard WA, Kang JA (2006) Ni-dispersed fullerenes: hydrogen storage and desorption properties. Appl Phys Lett 88:053111-1-053111-3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2168775
- Sun Q, Wang Q, Jena P, Kawazoe Y (2005) Clustering of Ti on a C₆₀ surface and its effect on hydrogen storage. J Am Chem Soc 127:14582–14583. doi:10.1021/ja0550125
- Valencia H, Gil A, Frapper G (2010) Trends in the adsorption of 3d transition metal atoms onto graphene and nanotube surfaces: a DFT study and molecular orbital analysis. J Phys Chem C 114:14141–14153. doi:10.1021/jp103445v
- Yang XB, Zhang RQ, Ni J (2009) Stable calcium adsorbates on carbon nanostructures: applications for high-capacity hydrogen storage. Phys Rev B 79:075431-1–075431-4. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.79.075431
- Yildirim T, Iñiguez J, Ciraci S (2005) Molecular and dissociative adsorption of multiple hydrogen molecules on transition metal decorated C₆₀. Phys Rev B 72:153403–153406. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.72.153403
- Yoon M, Yang S, Hicke Ch, Wang E, Geohegan D, Zhang Z (2008) Calcium as the superior coating metal in functionalization of carbon fullerenes for high-capacity hydrogen storage. Phys Rev Lett 100:206806-1–206806-4. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.206806
- Zhang Y, Franklin NW, Chen RJ, Dai HJ (2000) Metal coating on suspended carbon nanotubes and its implication to metal-tube interaction. Chem Phys Lett 331:35–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(00)01162-3
- Zhao YF, Kim YH, Dillon AC, Heben MJ, Zhang SB (2005) Hydrogen storage in novel organometallic buckyballs. Phys Rev Lett 94:155504-1–155504-4. doi:10.1103/Phys RevLett.94.155504
- Zope RR, Baruah T, Lau KC, Liu AY, Pederson MR, Dunlap BI (2009) Boron fullerenes: from B₈₀ to hole doped boron sheets. Phys Rev B 79:161403–161404. doi:10.1103/Phys RevB.79.161403

